AvalonBay Communities, Inc.

For Immediate News Release July 18, 2000

AVALONBAY COMMUNITIES, INC. ANNOUNCES SECOND QUARTER 2000 OPERATING RESULTS

(Alexandria, VA) AvalonBay Communities, Inc. (NYSE/PCX: AVB) reported today that Earnings per Share ("EPS") before gain on sale of communities for the guarter ended June 30, 2000 was \$.44 (diluted) compared to \$.37 (diluted) as previously reported for the comparable period of 1999, a per share increase of approximately 18.9%. For the six month period ended June 30, 2000, EPS before gain on sale of communities was \$.88 (diluted) compared to \$.45 (diluted) for the comparable period of 1999, a per share increase of approximately 95.6%. Funds from Operations ("FFO") for the quarter was \$60,970,000 or \$.90 per share (diluted) compared to \$50,529,000 or \$.77 per share (diluted) as previously reported for the comparable period of 1999, a per share increase of approximately 16.9%. FFO per share for the six months ended June 30, 2000 increased by 16.4% to \$1.77 from \$1.52 for the comparable period in 1999.

EPS per common share (diluted) for the quarter ended June 30, 2000 decreased by \$.20, or 25.0%, from the comparable period in 1999 to \$.60. This decrease is primarily attributable to a decrease in gain on sale of communities. EPS per common share (diluted) for the six months ended June 30, 2000 increased by \$0.27, or 30.7%, from the comparable period in 1999 to \$1.15.

The Company will hold a conference call on July 19, 2000 at 11:00 AM Eastern Time (EST) to review these results. The domestic number to call to participate is 1-800-952-4707. The international number to call to participate is 1-703-871-3077. The domestic number to hear a replay of this call is 1-888-266-2086, and the international number to hear a replay of this call is 1-703-925-2435 - Access Code: 4333196.

Operating Results for the Quarter Ended June 30, 2000 Compared to the Prior Year Period

Total revenue increased by \$16,770,000, or 13.6% to \$139,958,000, and earnings before interest, income taxes, depreciation and amortization ("EBITDA") increased by \$13,664,000 or 17.8% to \$90,439,000. The overall increase in revenue and EBITDA is primarily attributable to newly developed and redeveloped communities as well as operating improvements related to Established Communities.

Established Communities Operating Results 2Q 00 Compared to 2Q 99

	Rental <u>Revenue</u>	Operating Expenses	NOI
No. California	8.6%	1.2%	11.1%
So. California	7.2%	(2.1%)	11.6%
Northeast	7.2%	9.6%	6.3%
Mid-Atlantic	7.4%	6.0%	8.0%
Midwest	5.6%	(1.4%)	10.1%
Pacific Northwest	16.1%	(14.1%)	29.6%

For Established Communities, rental revenue increased 7.7%, comprised of rental rate growth of 5.9% and an increase in economic occupancy of 1.8%. Total revenue increased \$5,533,000 to \$77,374,000. Operating expenses increased \$835,000, or 4.0%. Accordingly, net operating income increased by \$4,698,000 or 9.2%.

Operating Results for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2000 Compared to the Prior Year Period

Total revenue increased by \$32,912,000, or 13.6% to \$275,046,000, and EBITDA increased by \$27,936,000 or 18.6% to \$178,167,000. The overall increase in revenue and EBITDA is primarily attributable to newly developed and redeveloped communities as well as operating improvements related to Established Communities.

Established Communities Operating Results YTD 00 Compared to YTD 99

	Rental <u>Revenue</u>	Operating Expenses	NOI
No. California	7.7%	(0.1%)	10.3%
So. California	7.5%	(2.1%)	12.2%
Northeast	6.6%	5.3%	7.1%
Mid-Atlantic	6.8%	4.0%	7.9%
Midwest	5.2%	0.7%	8.0%
Pacific Northwest	26.2%	(1.8%)	39.7%

For Established Communities, rental revenue increased 7.2%, comprised of rental rate growth of 5.6% and an increase in economic occupancy of 1.6%. Total revenue increased \$10,210,000 to \$152,650,000. Operating expenses increased \$948,000, or 2.3%. Accordingly, net operating income increased by \$9,262,000 or 9.1%.

Development Activity

During the second quarter, one development community, Avalon Willow (located in Mamaroneck, New York) was completed containing 227 apartment homes for a total investment of \$46.8 million. The first full quarter of stabilized occupancy for this community will be the third quarter of 2000.

Also during the second quarter, one development community, Avalon Manor (located in Freehold, New Jersey) commenced. When completed, this community will contain 296 apartment homes with a projected total investment of \$33.1 million.

Disposition Activity

During the second quarter, the Company sold two existing communities. The net proceeds from the sale of these communities, which contain a total of 486 apartment homes, were approximately \$26.1 million. The proceeds from the sale will be re-deployed to communities currently under construction or reconstruction.

Recent Financing Activity

Although there was no capital markets activity during the second quarter, the Company priced an offering of \$150,000,000 of medium-term notes with a maturity of 8 years at an interest rate of 8.25%. Settlement was on Monday, July 17, 2000.

About AvalonBay Communities, Inc.

AvalonBay, named the NAHB Development Company of the year for 1998 and 1999 currently owns or holds an ownership interest in 134 apartment communities containing 39,050 apartment homes in twelve states and the District of Columbia, of which nine communities are under construction and six communities are under reconstruction. AvalonBay is an equity REIT in the business of developing, redeveloping, acquiring and managing multifamily apartment communities in high barrier-to-entry markets of the United States. More information on AvalonBay may be found on AvalonBay's Website at http://www.avalonbay.com. For additional information, please contact Richard L. Michaux, President and Chief Executive Officer, at (703) 317-4602 or Thomas J. Sargeant, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, at (703) 317-4635.

This release contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. The forwardlooking statements contained in this release are statements that are subject to certain risks and uncertainties, including, but not limited to, possible changes in demand for apartment homes, the effects of economic conditions, the impact of competition and competitive pricing, changes in construction costs, the results of financing efforts, potential acquisitions under agreement, the effects of the Company's accounting policies and other matters detailed in the Company's filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1999 under the heading "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Forward-Looking Statements". Management generally considers FFO to be an appropriate measure of the operating performance of the Company because it provides investors an understanding of the ability of the Company to incur and service debt and to make capital expenditures. FFO is determined based on a definition adopted by the Board of Governors of the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts ("NAREIT") which may differ from the methodology for computing FFO used by other REITs, and, accordingly, the Company's calculation of FFO may not be comparable to such other REITs.

Revised Definition of FFO

For the six months ended June 30, 1999, the Company's previously reported FFO excluded a nonrecurring restructuring charge of \$16,590 in conformance with the NAREIT definition of FFO calculations then in place ("Original Definition"). The Company has adopted NAREIT's new FFO calculation, pursuant to NAREIT's White Paper dated October 1999, which modifies the FFO calculation to include certain nonrecurring charges ("Clarified Definition"). Although both FFO calculations are presented on the attached financial statements, the Company believes the comparison of FFO using the Original Definition represents the best guide to investors of comparable operations and growth between years.

Earnings Release Attachments

The Company produces Earnings Release Attachments ("the Attachments") that provide detailed information regarding operating, development. redevelopment, disposition and acquisition activity. These Attachments are available via the Company's website and through e-mail distribution. Access to the Attachments through the Company's website is available at http://www.avalonbay.com/earnings. If you would like to receive future press releases via e-mail, please register through the Company's website at http://www.avalonbay.com/website/PressRegistration.nsf. Some items referenced in the earnings release may require the Adobe Acrobat 4.0 Reader. If you do not have the Adobe Acrobat 4.0 Reader, you may download it now at the following website address: http://www.adobe.com/products/acrobat/readstep.html.

AvalonBay

SECOND QUARTER 2000

Supplemental Operating and Financial Data



Avalon Court North

Avalon Court North offers a variety of one, two or three-bedroom apartment styles, each with wall-to-wall carpeting, a designer kitchen, spacious walk-in closets and a private deck or patio. Residents enjoy a wide range of amenities to complement the needs of their active lifestyle, from fitness to business communications. At Avalon Court North, our residents arrive home to relax in an elegant clubhouse or community lounge, swim or sun by a sparkling pool, enjoy the outdoor fitness trail or putting green or workout in a fully equipped fitness center. Our residents can even take advantage of our business center and conference room. Avalon Court North provides superior living with an ultimate blend of comfort and convenience.

SECOND QUARTER 2000

Supplemental Operating and Financial Data

Table of Contents

Company Profile

Attachment 12

Attachment 1	Selected Operating and Other Information
Attachment 2	Detailed Operating Information
Attachment 3	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets
	Sub-Market Profile
Attachment 4	QTD Revenue and Occupancy Changes (Established Communities)
Attachment 5	YTD Revenue and Occupancy Changes (Established Communities)
	Development, Redevelopment, Acquisition and Disposition Profile
Attachment 6	<u>Development, Redevelopment, Acquisition and Disposition Profile</u> Summary of Development, Redevelopment and Acquisition Activity
Attachment 6 Attachment 7	
	Summary of Development, Redevelopment and Acquisition Activity
Attachment 7	Summary of Development, Redevelopment and Acquisition Activity Development Communities
Attachment 7 Attachment 8	Summary of Development, Redevelopment and Acquisition Activity Development Communities Redevelopment Communities
Attachment 7 Attachment 8 Attachment 9	Summary of Development, Redevelopment and Acquisition Activity Development Communities Redevelopment Communities Historical Development and Redevelopment Communities Yield Analysis

Summary of Disposition Activity

AvalonBay Communities, Inc. Selected Operating and Other Information June 30, 2000

(Dollars in thousands except per share data) (unaudited)

	Quarter to date						Year to date				
Selected Operating Information:		2000	1999		% Change	2000		1999		% Change	
Funds from Operations - Clarified											
Definition (1):	\$	60,970	\$	50,529	20.7%	\$	119,584	\$	82,901	44.2%	
Per common share - basic	\$	0.91	\$	0.77	18.2%	\$	1.79	\$	1.27	40.9%	
Per common share - diluted	\$	0.90	\$	0.77	16.9%	\$	1.77	\$	1.26	40.5%	
Funds from Operations - Original											
Definition (2):	\$	60,970	\$	50,595	20.5%	\$	119,584	\$	99,491	20.2%	
Per common share - basic	\$	0.91	\$	0.77	18.2%	\$	1.79	\$	1.53	17.0%	
Per common share - diluted	\$	0.90	\$	0.77	16.9%	\$	1.77	\$	1.52	16.4%	
Net income available to common											
stockholders:	\$	40,712	\$	52,977	(23.2%)	\$	77,939	\$	57,932	34.5%	
Per common share - basic	\$	0.61	\$	0.81	(24.7%)	\$	1.17	\$	0.89	31.5%	
Per common share - diluted	\$	0.60	\$	0.80	(25.0%)	\$	1.15	\$	0.88	30.7%	
Dividends declared - common:	\$	37,215	\$	33,071	12.5%	\$	74,157	\$	65,766	12.8%	
Per common share	\$	0.56	\$	0.51	9.8%	\$	1.12	\$	1.02	9.8%	
Total EBITDA	\$	90,439	\$	76,775	17.8%	\$	178,167	\$	150,231	18.6%	
Common shares outstanding	6	6,455,699	64	4,845,870		6	6,455,699	6	4,845,870		
Outstanding units		855,090		876,546			855,090		876,546		
Total outstanding shares and units	6	7,310,789	6	5,722,416		- 6	37,310,789	6	5,722,416		
Average shares outstanding - basic	6	7,031,890	6	5,355,505		6	6,866,160	6	5,079,447		
Effect of dilutive securities		861,628		513,065			634,045	484,280			
Average shares outstanding - diluted	6	7,893,518	6	5,868,570		6	67,500,205	6	5,563,727		

⁽¹⁾ FFO is calculated based on NAREIT's October 1999 White Paper on FFO. Non-recurring charges of \$16,590 for the six months ended June 30, 1999 were previously excluded.

⁽²⁾ As previously reported for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 1999.

Debt Composition and Maturities											
		Conventional				Tax-Exempt					
		Amt		% of Mkt Cap		Amt		% of Mkt Cap			
Long-term notes:											
Variable rate	\$				\$	67,960		1.4%			
Fixed rate		1,035,302		20.9%		304,855		6.2%			
Variable rate facility		270,600		5.5%							
Total debt	\$	1,305,902		26.4%	\$	372,815		7.6%			
Average interest rates (3)		7.0%					6.5%				
Combined average interest rate (3)				6.	9%						
		2000		2001		2002		2003		200	
Maturities (4)	\$	1,770	\$	14,523	\$	103,738	\$	154,014	\$	166	

⁽³⁾ Includes credit enhancement fees, facility fees, trustees, etc.

⁽⁴⁾ Excludes \$600 million credit facility that, after all extensions, matures in 2003.

Community Infor	Analysis of Capitalized Costs					
	Communities	Apt Homes				
			Q2 2000 Capitalized Interest	\$	4,163	
Current Communities	119	33,916	Q2 2000 Capitalized Overhead (5)	\$	4,180	
Development Communities	9	2,542	YTD 2000 Non-Revenue CapX/Home	\$	83	
Redevelopment Communities	6	2,496				
Development Rights	34	9,155	(5) Costs related to development and construction			
Third-party management	2	633	activities.			

AvalonBay Communities, Inc. Detailed Operating Information June 30, 2000

(Dollars in thousands except per share data) (unaudited)

			arter to date	;	Year to date					
		2000		1999 ⁽¹⁾	% Change		2000	1	999 ⁽¹⁾	% Change
Revenue:										
Rental income (2)	\$	139,632	\$	122,807	13.7%	\$2	74,417	\$2	241,380	13.7%
Management fees		252		314	(19.7%)		500		653	(23.4%)
Other income		74		67	10.4%		129		101	27.7%
Total		139,958		123,188	13.6%	2	75,046	2	242,134	13.6%
Operating Expenses:										
Property operating expenses and taxes Other operating expenses		39,655 6,806		38,184 5,989	3.9% 13.6%		77,851 13,178		76,097 11,491	2.3% 14.7%
, , ,	_		_			-				
Total	_	46,461		44,173	5.2%		91,029		87,588	3.9%
Interest income		948		1,757	(46.0%)	,	1,968	,	3,419	(42.4%)
Interest expense General and administrative		(20,363) (3,285)		(18,695) (2,425)	8.9% 35.5%	,	40,430) (6,232)	((35,163) (4,793)	15.0% 30.0%
Non-recurring items		(3,203)		(66)	(100.0%)		(0,232)	((16,590)	(100.0%)
Joint venture income and minority interest		227		185	22.7%		382	`	478	(20.1%)
Depreciation and amortization		(31,209)		(25,428)	22.7%	(60,628)	((52,654)	15.1%
Income before gain on sale		39,815		34,343	15.9%		79,077		49,243	60.6%
Gain on sale of communities		10,842		28,579	(62.1%)		18,752		28,579	(34.4%)
Net income		50,657		62,922	(19.5%)		97,829		77,822	25.7%
Dividends attributable to preferred stock		(9,945)		(9,945)	0.0%	(19,890)	((19,890)	0.0%
Net income available to common stockholders	\$	40,712	\$	52,977	(23.2%)	\$	77,939	\$	57,932	34.5%
Net income per common share- basic	\$	0.61	\$	0.81	(24.7%)	\$	1.17	\$	0.89	31.5%
Net income per common share- diluted	\$	0.60	\$	0.80	(25.0%)	\$	1.15	\$	0.88	30.7%
Calculation of Funds from Operations ("FFO"):										
Net income available to common stockholders	\$	40,712	\$	52,977	(23.2%)	\$	77,939	\$	57,932	34.5%
Depreciation (real estate related)		30,453		25,449	19.7%		59,047		52,246	13.0%
Joint venture adjustments		196		187	4.8%		390		374	4.3%
Minority interest Gain on sale of communities		451 (10,842)		495 (28,579)	(8.9%) (62.1%)	(960 18,752)	,	928 (28,579)	3.4% (34.4%)
FFO available to Common Stockholders (Clarified		(10,042)		(20,019)	(02.170)		10,732)		(20,013)	(34.470)
Definition) ⁽³⁾	\$	60,970	\$	50,529	20.7%	\$1	19,584	\$	82,901	44.2%
FFO available to Common Stockholders (Original	_		_							
Definition) ⁽⁴⁾	\$	60,970	\$	50,595	20.5%	\$1	19,584	\$	99,491	20.2%
FFO per Common Share - Basic (Clarified Definition)	\$	0.91	\$	0.77	18.2%	\$	1.79	\$	1.27	40.9%
FFO per Common Share - Diluted (Clarified Definition)	\$	0.90	\$	0.77	16.9%	\$	1.77	\$	1.26	40.5%
FFO per Common Share - Basic (Original Definition)	\$	0.91	\$	0.77	18.2%	\$	1.79	\$	1.53	17.0%
FFO per Common Share - Diluted (Original Definition)	\$	0.90	\$	0.77	16.9%	\$	1.77	\$	1.52	16.4%

⁽¹⁾ Certain reclassifications have been made to prior year's results to conform with current year presentations.

⁽²⁾ Fees for late payment of rent accounted for approximately 0.2% of rental income during the quarter ended June 30, 2000.

⁽³⁾ FFO is calculated based on NAREIT's October 1999 White Paper on FFO. Previously, non-recurring charges of \$16,076 for certain management and other organizational changes and \$514 of Year 2000 remediation costs were excluded from the calculation of FFO for the six months ended June 30, 1999.

⁽⁴⁾ As previously reported for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 1999.

AvalonBay Communities, Inc. Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets June 30, 2000

(Dollars in thousands) (unaudited)

	June 30, 2000	December 31, 1999
Net real estate Construction in progress (including land)	\$ 3,693,971 432,788	\$ 3,642,457 398,866
Total real estate, net	4,126,759	4,041,323
Other assets	142,838	113,339
Total assets	\$ 4,269,597	\$ 4,154,662
Unsecured facility Unsecured senior notes Notes payable Other liabilities	\$ 270,600 985,000 423,117 154,900	\$ 178,600 985,000 430,047 155,353
Total liabilities	1,833,617	1,749,000
Minority interest	39,074	35,377
Stockholders' equity	2,396,906	2,370,285
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 4,269,597	\$ 4,154,662

AvalonBay Communities, Inc. Quarter to Date Revenue and Occupancy Changes (Established Communities) June 30, 2000

	Apartment Homes	Ave	rage Rental R	ates	Occupancy			Re	Percent of Total Established Revenue		
		Q2 00	Q2 99	% Change	Q2 00	Q2 99	% Change	Q2 00	Q2 99	% Change	
Northern California											
San Jose, CA	2,646	\$ 1,489	\$ 1,423	5.0%	98.4%	95.6%	2.8%	\$ 11,636	\$ 10,796	7.8%	15.0%
Oakland, CA	2,090	1,255	1,197	5.3%	98.3%	94.8%	3.5%	7,736	7,112	8.8%	10.1%
San Francisco, CA	1,539	1,422	1,323	7.7%	98.1%	96.0%	2.1%	6,440	5,865	9.8%	8.3%
Northern California Average	6,275	1,395	1,323	5.8%	98.3%	95.5%	2.8%	25,812	23,773	8.6%	33.4%
Southern California											
Orange County, CA	1,270	1,076	1,008	6.7%	95.8%	96.1%	(0.3%)	3,927	3,692	6.4%	5.1%
San Diego, CA	376	1,090	988	10.2%	97.5%	98.1%	(0.6%)	1,198	1,093	9.6%	1.5%
Los Angeles, CA	209	1,036	956	8.3%	95.3%	95.9%	(0.6%)	619	575	7.7%	0.8%
Southern California Average	1,855	1,074	998	7.6%	96.1%	96.5%	(0.4%)	5,744	5,360	7.2%	7.4%
Northeast											
Boston, MA	1,293	1,690	1,565	8.1%	97.5%	96.6%	0.9%	6,389	5,864	9.0%	8.3%
Fairfield-New Haven, CT	1,444	1,426	1,346	5.9%	98.3%	97.0%	1.3%	6,068	5,659	7.2%	7.8%
Northern New Jersey	504	2,519	2,504	1.0%	98.4%	92.8%	5.6%	3,747	3,514	6.6%	4.8%
Central New Jersey	718	1,274	1,218	4.6%	98.2%	97.4%	0.8%	2,693	2,554	5.4%	3.5%
Long Island, NY	421	1,839	1,767	4.1%	99.6%	99.2%	0.4%	2,313	2,214	4.5%	3.0%
New York, NY	393	1,435	1,300	10.2%	97.9%	99.1%	(1.2%)	1,655	1,519	9.0%	2.1%
Northeast Average	4,773	1,627	1,541	5.6%	98.2%	96.6%	1.6%	22,865	21,324	7.2%	29.5%
Mid-Atlantic											
Washington, DC	3,783	1,256	1,184	6.2%	98.3%	97.1%	1.2%	14,008	13,046	7.4%	18.1%
Baltimore, MD	1,052	954	896	6.6%	98.0%	96.9%	1.1%	2,950	2,740	7.7%	3.8%
Mid-Atlantic Average	4,835	1,190	1,122	6.2%	98.2%	97.0%	1.2%	16,958	15,786	7.4%	21.9%
Midwest											
Minneapolis, MN	1,104	989	932	6.0%	96.1%	96.7%	(0.6%)	3,147	2,985	5.4%	4.1%
Chicago, IL	487	1,368	1,329	3.3%	95.8%	93.2%	2.6%	1,916	1,810	5.9%	2.5%
Midwest Average	1,591	1,105	1,054	5.0%	96.0%	95.4%	0.6%	5,063	4,795	5.6%	6.6%
Pacific Northwest											
Seattle. WA	264	1,212	1,133	7.0%	97.2%	89.5%	9.1%	932	803	16.1%	1.2%
Pacific Northwest Average	264	1,212	1,133	7.0%	97.2%	89.5%	9.1%	932	803	16.1%	1.2%
Average/Total Established	19,593	\$ 1,345	\$ 1,272	5.9%	97.9%	96.1%	1.8%	\$ 77,374	\$ 71,841	7.7%	100.0%

AvalonBay Communities, Inc. Year to Date Revenue and Occupancy Changes (Established Communities) June 30, 2000

Northern California San Jose, CA 2,646 31,467 31,411 4,3% 98,4% 95,3% 3,1% \$22,923 \$21,348 7,4 Cakland, CA 2,040 1,236 1,190 4,0% 98,0% 95,2% 2,8% 15,182 14,214 6,8 San Francisco, CA 1,539 1,414 1,313 7,9% 97,7% 96,3% 1,4% 12,753 11,670 9,3 Northern California Orange County, CA 376 1,072 976 9,8% 97,3% 97,9% 96,5% 96	Percent of Total Established Revenue	
San Jose, CA 2,646 \$ 1,467 \$ 1,411 4.3% 98.4% 95.3% 3.1% \$ 22,923 \$ 21,348 7.4 Oakland, CA 2,090 1,236 1,190 4.0% 98.0% 95.2% 2.8% 15,182 14,214 6.8 San Francisco, CA 1,539 1,414 1,313 7.9% 97.7% 96.3% 1.4% 12,753 11,670 9.3 Northern California 0 1,377 1,313 5.1% 98.1% 95.5% 2.6% 50.858 47,232 7.7 Southern California 0 1,270 1,063 99.8 6.6% 96.3% 95.9% 0.4% 7,800 7,293 7.0 San Diego, CA 1,270 1,063 99.8 6.6% 96.3% 95.9% 0.4% 7,800 7,293 7.0 Los Angeles, CA 209 1,017 93.8 8.4% 96.2% 96.5% (0.3%) 1,227 1,135 8.1 Northeast 1,250 <t< th=""><th><u> </u></th></t<>	<u> </u>	
Oakland, CA 2,090 1,236 1,190 4.0% 98.0% 95.2% 2.8% 15,182 14,214 6.8 San Francisco, CA 1,539 1,414 1,313 7.9% 97.7% 96.3% 1,4% 12,753 11,670 9.3 Northern California Orange County, CA 1,270 1,063 998 6.6% 96.3% 95.9% 0.4% 7,800 7,293 7.0 San Diego, CA 376 1,072 976 9.8% 97.3% 97.9% (0.6%) 2,354 2,156 9.2 Southern California Average 1,855 1,060 987 7.4% 96.5% (0.3%) 1,227 1,135 8.1 Southern California Average 1,855 1,060 987 7.4% 96.5% 96.5% (0.3%) 1,227 1,135 8.1 Southern California Average 1,855 1,060 987 7.4% 96.5% 96.4% 0.1% 1,233 1,555 1,555 6.3% 97.7%		
San Francisco, CA Northern California Average 1,539 1,414 1,313 7.9% 97.7% 96.3% 1.4% 12,753 11,670 9.3 Southern California Orange County, CA 1,270 1,063 998 6.6% 96.3% 95.9% 0.4% 7,800 7,293 7.0 San Diego, CA 376 1,072 976 9.8% 97.3% 97.9% (0.6%) 2,354 2,156 9.2 Los Angeles, CA 209 1,017 938 8.4% 96.5% 96.5% (0.3%) 1,227 1,135 8.1 Southern California Average 1,855 1,060 987 7.4% 96.5% (0.3%) 1,227 1,135 8.1 Northerast 8 1,844 1,405 1,333 5.5% 97.6% 96.1% 1.5% 12,502 11,595 7.8 Fairfield-New Haven, CT 1,444 1,405 1,333 5.5% 97.7% 97.4% 0.3% 11,900 11,250 5.8 Central New Jers	15.0%	
Northern California Average 6,275 1,377 1,313 5.1% 98.1% 95.5% 2.6% 50,858 47,232 7.7 Southern California Orange County, CA 1,270 1,063 998 6.6% 96.3% 95.9% 0.4% 7,800 7,293 7.0 San Diego, CA 376 1,072 976 9.8% 97.3% 97.9% (0.6%) 2,354 2,156 92.2 Los Angeles, CA 209 1,017 938 8.4% 96.2% 96.5% (0.3%) 1,227 1,135 8.1 Southern California Average 1,855 1,060 987 7.4% 96.5% 96.4% 0.1% 11,381 10,584 7.5 Northeast Boston, MA 1,293 1,652 1,555 6.3% 97.6% 96.1% 1.5% 12,502 11,595 7.8 Fairifield-New Haven, CT 1,444 1,405 1,333 5.5% 97.7% 97.4% 0.3% 11,900 11,250 5.8 Northern New Jersey 504 2,536 2,459 3.3% 97.7% 94.4% 3.3% 7,490 7,024 6.6 Central New Jersey 718 1,261 1,203 4.9% 98.1% 97.3% 0.8% 5,329 5,044 5.7 New York, NY 393 1,410 1,291 9.1% 98.3% 99.2% (0.9%) 3,267 3,019 8.2 Northeast Average 4,773 1,609 1,525 5.6% 97.9% 96.9% 1.0% 45,087 42,310 6.6 Mid-Atlantic Washington, DC 3,783 1,242 1,171 6.1% 97.9% 97.4% 96.9% 0.5% 5,796 5,442 6.5 Mid-Atlantic Average 4,835 1,177 1,110 6.1% 97.8% 97.1% 0.7% 33,375 31,248 6.8 Midwest Minneapolis, NN 1,104 981 923 6.3% 96.0% 96.4% (0.4%) 6,236 5,890 5.9 Minneapolis, NN 1,104 981 923 6.3% 96.0% 97.4% 96.6% 94.5% 2.1% 3,832 3,685 4.0 Chicago, IL 487 1,357 1,335 1,9% 96.6% 94.5% 2.1% 3,832 3,685 4.0	10.0%	
Southern California Orange County, CA 1,270 1,063 998 6.6% 96.3% 95.9% 0.4% 7,800 7,293 7.0 San Diego, CA 376 1,072 976 9.8% 97.3% 97.9% (0.6%) 2,354 2,156 9.2 Los Angeles, CA 209 1,017 938 8.4% 96.2% 96.5% (0.3%) 1,227 1,135 8.1 Southern California Average 1,855 1,060 987 7.4% 96.5% 96.5% 0.3%) 1,227 1,135 8.1 Northeast 1,855 1,060 987 7.4% 96.5% 96.4% 0.1% 11,381 10,584 7.5 Northeast 1,203 1,652 1,555 6.3% 97.6% 96.1% 1.5% 12,502 11,595 7.8 Fairfield-New Haven, CT 1,444 1,405 1,333 5.5% 97.7% 97.4% 0.3% 11,900 11,250 5.8 Northern New Jersey <t< td=""><td>8.4%</td></t<>	8.4%	
Orange County, CA 1,270 1,063 998 6.6% 96.3% 95.9% 0.4% 7,800 7,293 7.0 San Diego, CA 376 1,072 976 9.8% 97.3% 97.9% (0.6%) 2,354 2,156 9.2 Los Angeles, CA 209 1,017 938 8.4% 96.2% 96.5% (0.3%) 1,227 1,135 8.1 Southern California Average 1,855 1,060 987 7.4% 96.5% 96.4% 0.1% 11,381 10,584 7.5 Northeast 8 8 97.6% 96.1% 1.5% 12,502 11,595 7.8 Fairfield-New Haven, CT 1,444 1,405 1,333 5.5% 97.7% 97.4% 0.3% 11,900 11,250 5.8 Northern New Jersey 504 2,536 2,459 3.3% 97.7% 97.4% 0.3% 7,490 7,024 6.6 Central New Jersey 718 1,261 1,203 4.9%	33.4%	
Orange County, CA 1,270 1,063 998 6.6% 96.3% 95.9% 0.4% 7,800 7,293 7.0 San Diego, CA 376 1,072 976 9.8% 97.3% 97.9% (0.6%) 2,354 2,156 9.2 Los Angeles, CA 209 1,017 938 8.4% 96.2% 96.5% (0.3%) 1,227 1,135 8.1 Southern California Average 1,855 1,060 987 7.4% 96.5% 96.4% 0.1% 11,381 10,584 7.5 Northeast 8 8 97.6% 96.1% 1.5% 12,502 11,595 7.8 Fairfield-New Haven, CT 1,444 1,405 1,333 5.5% 97.7% 97.4% 0.3% 11,900 11,250 5.8 Northern New Jersey 504 2,536 2,459 3.3% 97.7% 97.4% 0.3% 7,490 7,024 6.6 Central New Jersey 718 1,261 1,203 4.9%		
San Diego, CA 376 1,072 976 9.8% 97.3% 97.9% (0.6%) 2,354 2,156 9.2 Los Angeles, CA 209 1,017 938 8.4% 96.2% 96.5% (0.3%) 1,227 1,135 8.1 Southern California Average 1,855 1,060 987 7.4% 96.5% 96.4% 0.1% 11,381 10,584 7.5 Northeast Boston, MA 1,293 1,652 1,555 6.3% 97.6% 96.1% 1.5% 12,502 11,595 7.8 Fairfield-New Haven, CT 1,444 1,405 1,333 5.5% 97.7% 97.4% 0.3% 11,900 11,250 5.8 Northern New Jersey 504 2,536 2,459 3.3% 97.7% 97.4% 0.3% 11,900 11,250 5.8 Northeast New Jersey 718 1,261 1,203 4.9% 98.1% 97.3% 0.8% 5,329 5,044 5.7 Long Island, NY <	5.1%	
Southern California Average 1,855 1,060 987 7.4% 96.5% 96.4% 0.1% 11,381 10,584 7.5 Northeast Boston, MA 1,293 1,652 1,555 6.3% 97.6% 96.1% 1.5% 12,502 11,595 7.8 Fairfield-New Haven, CT 1,444 1,405 1,333 5.5% 97.7% 97.4% 0.3% 11,900 11,250 5.8 Northern New Jersey 504 2,536 2,459 3.3% 97.7% 94.4% 3.3% 7,490 7,024 6.6 Central New Jersey 718 1,261 1,203 4.9% 98.1% 97.3% 0.8% 5,329 5,044 5.7 Long Island, NY 421 1,842 1,750 5.2% 98.8% 99.0% (0.2%) 4,599 4,378 5.0 New York, NY 393 1,410 1,291 9.1% 98.3% 99.2% (0.9%) 3,267 3,019 8.2 Northeast Average	1.5%	
Northeast Boston, MA	0.8%	
Boston, MA 1,293 1,652 1,555 6.3% 97.6% 96.1% 1.5% 12,502 11,595 7.8 Fairfield-New Haven, CT 1,444 1,405 1,333 5.5% 97.7% 97.4% 0.3% 11,900 11,250 5.8 Northern New Jersey 504 2,536 2,459 3.3% 97.7% 94.4% 3.3% 7,490 7,024 6.6 Central New Jersey 718 1,261 1,203 4.9% 98.1% 97.3% 0.8% 5,329 5,044 5.7 Long Island, NY 421 1,842 1,750 5.2% 98.8% 99.0% (0.2%) 4,599 4,378 5.0 New York, NY 393 1,410 1,291 9.1% 98.3% 99.2% (0.9%) 3,267 3,019 8.2 Northeast Average 4,773 1,609 1,525 5.6% 97.9% 96.9% 1.0% 45,087 42,310 6.6 Mid-Atlantic Washington, DC 3,78	7.4%	
Boston, MA 1,293 1,652 1,555 6.3% 97.6% 96.1% 1.5% 12,502 11,595 7.8 Fairfield-New Haven, CT 1,444 1,405 1,333 5.5% 97.7% 97.4% 0.3% 11,900 11,250 5.8 Northern New Jersey 504 2,536 2,459 3.3% 97.7% 94.4% 3.3% 7,490 7,024 6.6 Central New Jersey 718 1,261 1,203 4.9% 98.1% 97.3% 0.8% 5,329 5,044 5.7 Long Island, NY 421 1,842 1,750 5.2% 98.8% 99.0% (0.2%) 4,599 4,378 5.0 New York, NY 393 1,410 1,291 9.1% 98.3% 99.2% (0.9%) 3,267 3,019 8.2 Northeast Average 4,773 1,609 1,525 5.6% 97.9% 96.9% 1.0% 45,087 42,310 6.6 Mid-Atlantic Washington, DC 3,78		
Fairfield-New Haven, CT 1,444 1,405 1,333 5.5% 97.7% 97.4% 0.3% 11,900 11,250 5.8 Northern New Jersey 504 2,536 2,459 3.3% 97.7% 94.4% 3.3% 7,490 7,024 6.6 Central New Jersey 718 1,261 1,203 4.9% 98.1% 97.3% 0.8% 5,329 5,044 5.7 Long Island, NY 421 1,842 1,750 5.2% 98.8% 99.0% (0.2%) 4,599 4,378 5.0 New York, NY 393 1,410 1,291 9.1% 98.3% 99.2% (0.9%) 3,267 3,019 8.2 Northeast Average 4,773 1,609 1,525 5.6% 97.9% 96.9% 1.0% 45,087 42,310 6.6 Mid-Atlantic Washington, DC 3,783 1,242 1,171 6.1% 97.9% 97.1% 0.8% 27,579 25,806 6.9 Baltimore, MD 1,052 943 889 6.0% 97.4% 96.9% 0.5% 5,796 5,442 6.5 Mid-Atlantic Average 4,835 1,177 1,110 6.1% 97.8% 97.1% 0.7% 33,375 31,248 6.8 Midwest Midwest Minneapolis, MN 1,104 981 923 6.3% 96.0% 96.4% (0.4%) 6,236 5,890 5.9 Chicago, IL 487 1,357 1,335 1.9% 96.6% 94.5% 2.1% 3,832 3,685 4.0	8.2%	
Northern New Jersey 504 2,536 2,459 3.3% 97.7% 94.4% 3.3% 7,490 7,024 6.6 Central New Jersey 718 1,261 1,203 4.9% 98.1% 97.3% 0.8% 5,329 5,044 5.7 Long Island, NY 421 1,842 1,750 5.2% 98.8% 99.0% (0.2%) 4,599 4,378 5.0 New York, NY 393 1,410 1,291 9.1% 98.3% 99.2% (0.9%) 3,267 3,019 8.2 Northeast Average 4,773 1,609 1,525 5.6% 97.9% 96.9% 1.0% 45,087 42,310 6.6 Mid-Atlantic Washington, DC 3,783 1,242 1,171 6.1% 97.9% 97.1% 0.8% 27,579 25,806 6.9 Baltimore, MD 1,052 943 889 6.0% 97.4% 96.9% 0.5% 5,796 5,442 6.5 Mid-Atlantic Average 4,835 1,177 1,110 6.1% 97.8% 97.1% 0.7% 33,375 31,248 6.8 Midwest Midwest Minneapolis, MN 1,104 981 923 6.3% 96.0% 96.4% (0.4%) 6,236 5,890 5.9 Chicago, IL 487 1,357 1,335 1.9% 96.6% 94.5% 2.1% 3,832 3,685 4.0		
Central New Jersey 718 1,261 1,203 4.9% 98.1% 97.3% 0.8% 5,329 5,044 5.7 Long Island, NY 421 1,842 1,750 5.2% 98.8% 99.0% (0.2%) 4,599 4,378 5.0 New York, NY 393 1,410 1,291 9.1% 98.3% 99.2% (0.9%) 3,267 3,019 8.2 Northeast Average 4,773 1,609 1,525 5.6% 97.9% 96.9% 1.0% 45,087 42,310 6.6 Mid-Atlantic Washington, DC 3,783 1,242 1,171 6.1% 97.9% 97.1% 0.8% 27,579 25,806 6.9 Baltimore, MD 1,052 943 889 6.0% 97.4% 96.9% 0.5% 5,796 5,442 6.5 Mid-Atlantic Average 4,835 1,177 1,110 6.1% 97.8% 97.1% 0.7% 33,375 31,248 6.8 Midwest <td colspa<="" td=""><td></td></td>	<td></td>	
New York, NY 393 1,410 1,291 9.1% 98.3% 99.2% (0.9%) 3,267 3,019 8.2 Northeast Average 4,773 1,609 1,525 5.6% 97.9% 96.9% 1.0% 45,087 42,310 6.6 Mid-Atlantic Washington, DC 3,783 1,242 1,171 6.1% 97.9% 97.1% 0.8% 27,579 25,806 6.9 Baltimore, MD 1,052 943 889 6.0% 97.4% 96.9% 0.5% 5,796 5,442 6.5 Mid-Atlantic Average 4,835 1,177 1,110 6.1% 97.8% 97.1% 0.7% 33,375 31,248 6.8 Midwest Minneapolis, MN 1,104 981 923 6.3% 96.0% 96.4% (0.4%) 6,236 5,890 5.9 Chicago, IL 487 1,357 1,335 1.9% 96.6% 94.5% 2.1% 3,832 3,685 4.0 <td>3.5%</td>	3.5%	
Northeast Average 4,773 1,609 1,525 5.6% 97.9% 96.9% 1.0% 45,087 42,310 6.60 Mid-Atlantic Washington, DC 3,783 1,242 1,171 6.1% 97.9% 97.1% 0.8% 27,579 25,806 6.9 Baltimore, MD 1,052 943 889 6.0% 97.4% 96.9% 0.5% 5,796 5,442 6.5 Mid-Atlantic Average 4,835 1,177 1,110 6.1% 97.8% 97.1% 0.7% 33,375 31,248 6.8 Midwest Minneapolis, MN 1,104 981 923 6.3% 96.0% 96.4% (0.4%) 6,236 5,890 5.9 Chicago, IL 487 1,357 1,335 1.9% 96.6% 94.5% 2.1% 3,832 3,685 4.0	3.0%	
Mid-Atlantic Washington, DC 3,783 1,242 1,171 6.1% 97.9% 97.1% 0.8% 27,579 25,806 6.9 Baltimore, MD 1,052 943 889 6.0% 97.4% 96.9% 0.5% 5,796 5,442 6.5 Mid-Atlantic Average 4,835 1,177 1,110 6.1% 97.8% 97.1% 0.7% 33,375 31,248 6.8 Midwest Minneapolis, MN 1,104 981 923 6.3% 96.0% 96.4% (0.4%) 6,236 5,890 5.9 Chicago, IL 487 1,357 1,335 1.9% 96.6% 94.5% 2.1% 3,832 3,685 4.0	2.1%	
Washington, DC 3,783 1,242 1,171 6.1% 97.9% 97.1% 0.8% 27,579 25,806 6.9 Baltimore, MD 1,052 943 889 6.0% 97.4% 96.9% 0.5% 5,796 5,442 6.5 Mid-Atlantic Average 4,835 1,177 1,110 6.1% 97.8% 97.1% 0.7% 33,375 31,248 6.8 Midwest Minneapolis, MN 1,104 981 923 6.3% 96.0% 96.4% (0.4%) 6,236 5,890 5.9 Chicago, IL 487 1,357 1,335 1.9% 96.6% 94.5% 2.1% 3,832 3,685 4.0	29.5%	
Baltimore, MD 1,052 943 889 6.0% 97.4% 96.9% 0.5% 5,796 5,442 6.5 Mid-Atlantic Average 4,835 1,177 1,110 6.1% 97.8% 97.1% 0.7% 33,375 31,248 6.8 Midwest Minneapolis, MN 1,104 981 923 6.3% 96.0% 96.4% (0.4%) 6,236 5,890 5.9 Chicago, IL 487 1,357 1,335 1.9% 96.6% 94.5% 2.1% 3,832 3,685 4.0	-	
Baltimore, MD 1,052 943 889 6.0% 97.4% 96.9% 0.5% 5,796 5,442 6.5 Mid-Atlantic Average 4,835 1,177 1,110 6.1% 97.8% 97.1% 0.7% 33,375 31,248 6.8 Midwest Minneapolis, MN 1,104 981 923 6.3% 96.0% 96.4% (0.4%) 6,236 5,890 5.9 Chicago, IL 487 1,357 1,335 1.9% 96.6% 94.5% 2.1% 3,832 3,685 4.0	18.1%	
Mid-Atlantic Average 4,835 1,177 1,110 6.1% 97.8% 97.1% 0.7% 33,375 31,248 6.8 Midwest Minneapolis, MN 1,104 981 923 6.3% 96.0% 96.4% (0.4%) 6,236 5,890 5.9 Chicago, IL 487 1,357 1,335 1.9% 96.6% 94.5% 2.1% 3,832 3,685 4.0		
Minneapolis, MN 1,104 981 923 6.3% 96.0% 96.4% (0.4%) 6,236 5,890 5.9 Chicago, IL 487 1,357 1,335 1.9% 96.6% 94.5% 2.1% 3,832 3,685 4.0	21.9%	
Chicago, IL 487 1,357 1,335 1.9% 96.6% 94.5% 2.1% 3,832 3,685 4.0	-	
Chicago, IL 487 1,357 1,335 1.9% 96.6% 94.5% 2.1% 3,832 3,685 4.0	4.1%	
Midwest Average 4 504 4 000 4 040 4 507 00 207 05 007 40 000 0 575 5 4	2.5%	
Midwest Average 1,591 1,096 1,049 4.5% 96.2% 95.6% 0.6% 10,068 9,575 5.1	6.6%	
Pacific Northwest		
Seattle, WA 264 1,234 1,165 5.9% 96.2% 80.8% 20.3% 1,881 1,491 26.2	1.2%	
Pacific Northwest Average 264 1,234 1,165 5.9% 96.2% 80.8% 20.3% 1,881 1,491 26.2	1.2%	
Average/Total Established 19,593 \$ 1,329 \$ 1,261 5.6% 97.7% 96.1% 1.6% \$152,650 \$ 142,440 7.2		

AvalonBay Communities, Inc. Summary of Development, Redevelopment and Acquisition Activity as of June 30, 2000

		Number of	Number of	Dollar Value
		Of Communities	Homes	value (millions)
Portfolio Additions:				
1999 Annual (Actuals)				
Development		10	2,335	\$ 391.6
Redevelopment	(1)	13		77.3
Presale Communities		1	224	26.0
Total Additions		24	2,559	\$ 494.9
2000 Annual (Projections)				
Development		6	1,209	\$ 175.0
Redevelopment	(1)	4		38.7
Presale Communities		5	1,452	151.5
Total Additions		15	2,661	\$ 365.2
Pipeline Activity:				
Currently under construction				
Development Communities		9	2,542	\$ 397.8
Redevelopment Communities	(1)	6		68.1
Presale Communities		6	2,117	238.4
Subtotal		21	4,659	\$ 704.3
Planning:				
Development Rights		34	9,155	\$ 1,380.0
Presale Communities		1	306	57.3
Subtotal		35	9,461	\$ 1,437.3
Total Pipeline		56	14,120	\$ 2,141.6

⁽¹⁾ Represents only cost of redevelopment activity, does not include original acquisition cost or number of apartment homes acquired.

AvalonBay Communities, Inc. Development Communities as of June 30, 2000

		# of	Budgeted		Sche	<u>dule</u>		Avg Rent			
		# oi Apt	Cost (1)		Initial		Stabilized	Per	% Comp	% Leased	% Occ
		Homes	(millions)	Start	Occupancy	Complete	Ops (2)	Home (3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Unde	r Construction:										
1.	Avalon Essex Peabody, MA	154	\$ 21.4	Q2 1999	Q2 2000	Q3 2000	Q4 2000	\$ 1,791	100.0%	92.2%	92.2%
2.	Avalon at Florham Park Florham Park, NJ	270	\$ 41.0	Q2 1999	Q1 2000	Q2 2001	Q4 2001	\$ 2,176	21.9%	41.5%	20.7%
3.	Avalon River Mews Edgewater, NJ	408	\$ 75.6	Q3 1999	Q1 2001	Q3 2001	Q1 2002	\$ 2,303	N/A	N/A	N/A
4.	Avalon Haven North Haven, CT	128	\$ 14.4	Q3 1999	Q2 2000	Q4 2000	Q1 2001	\$ 1,443	71.9%	86.7%	51.6%
5.	Avalon Bellevue Bellevue, WA	202	\$ 29.9	Q4 1999	Q1 2001	Q2 2001	Q3 2001	\$ 1,565	N/A	N/A	N/A
6.	Avalon at Arlington Square I Arlington, VA	510	\$ 69.9	Q4 1999	Q4 2000	Q4 2001	Q3 2002	\$ 1,586	N/A	N/A	N/A
7.	Avalon on the Sound (7) New Rochelle, NY	412	\$ 92.1	Q4 1999	Q3 2001	Q4 2001	Q3 2002	\$ 2,444	N/A	N/A	N/A
8.	Avalon Estates Hull, MA	162	\$ 20.4	Q4 1999	Q4 2000	Q2 2001	Q4 2001	\$ 1,545	N/A	16.0%	N/A
9.	Avalon Manor Freehold, NJ	296	\$ 33.1	Q2 2000	Q1 2001	Q4 2001	Q2 2002	\$ 1,364	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Subtotal/Weighted Average	2,542	\$ 397.8								
Com	pleted this Quarter:										
1.	Avalon Willow Mamaronek, NY	227	\$ 46.8	Q2 1997	Q1 1999	Q2 2000	Q3 2000	\$ 2,144	100.0%	99.6%	98.7%
	Subtotal/Weighted Average	227	\$ 46.8								
	Total/Weighted Average	2,769	\$ 444.6								
	Projected EBITDA as % of Total										
	Budgeted Cost (8)		10.2%								

- (1) Total budgeted cost includes all capitalized costs projected to be incurred to develop the respective Development Community, including land acquisition costs, construction costs, real estate taxes, capitalized interest and loan fees, permits, professional fees, allocated development overhead and other regulatory fees determined in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.
- (2) Stabilized operations is defined as the first full quarter of 95% or greater occupancy after completion of construction.
- (3) For communities not yet in lease-up, "Average Rent Per Home" reflects pro forma projections.
- (4) Includes apartment homes for which construction has been completed and accepted by management as of July 14, 2000.
- (5) Includes apartment homes for which leases have been executed or non-refundable deposits have been paid as of July 14, 2000.
- (6) Includes apartment homes occupied as of July 14, 2000.
- (7) This community will be developed under a Joint Venture Structure with third party financing. AvalonBay's portion of the Budgeted Cost is expected to be \$13.3 million.
- (8) Projected EBITDA represents management's estimate, as of the date of release of this information, of gross potential community-level earnings projected to be achieved for the first stabilized year following the completion of construction before interest, income taxes (if any), depreciation, amortization and extraordinary items, minus (a) projected economic vacancy and (b) projected stabilized operating expenses. Projected EBITDA does not include either a management fee or any allocation of corporate overhead and is not a measure that can be determined in accordance with GAAP. Management uses its determination of Projected EBITDA to help measure the projected impact that a community under construction may have on company-wide performance once such community is complete and achieves stabilization (i.e., a 95% rate of occupancy.) Projected EBITDA is also a tool that management uses to measure and compare the performance and projected performance of different communities. Projected EBITDA should not be considered as an alternative to operating income, as determined in accordance with GAAP, as an indicator of the Company's or a community's operating performance, or to cash flows from operating activities (as determined in accordance with GAAP) as a measure of liquidity. Projected EBITDA, and in particular community-level EBITDA, as disclosed by other REITs may not be comparable to the Company's calculation of EBITDA.

The following is a "Safe Harbor" Statement under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and Section 21E of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. The projections and estimates contained in the table above are forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties, and actual results may differ materially from those projected in such statements. Risks associated with the Company's development, redevelopment, construction, and lease-up activities, which could impact the forward-looking statements made, include: development opportunities may be abandoned; construction costs of a community may exceed original estimates, possibly making the community uneconomical and/or affecting projected returns; and construction and lease-up may not be completed on schedule, resulting in increased debt service and construction costs.

AvalonBay Communities, Inc. Redevelopment Communities (1) as of June 30, 2000

		Cost (in millions) Schedule		Avg	Number	of Homes				
	# of Apt Homes	Acquisition Cost	Total Budgeted Cost (2)	Acquisition	Start	Complete	Restabilized Ops (3)	Rent Per Home	Completed to date	Out of Service @ 6/30/00
Under Redevelopment: 1. Avalon Greenbriar (4) Renton, WA	421	\$ 25.3	\$ 35.7	Q2 1998	Q3 1998	Q3 2000	Q3 2000	\$ 1,006	390	14
Avalon at Mission Bay San Diego, CA	564	\$ 43.8	\$ 57.3	Q4 1997	Q3 1998	Q3 2000	Q3 2000	\$ 1,125	562	2
Creekside Mountain View, CA	294	\$ 29.0	\$ 39.8	Q4 1998	Q2 1999	Q3 2000	Q4 2000	\$ 1,522	261	21
Laguna Brisas Laguna Niguel, CA	176	\$ 17.2	\$ 21.2	Q1 1998	Q3 1999	Q3 2000	Q4 2000	\$ 1,157	171	5
Avalon at Cortez Hill San Diego, CA	293	\$ 24.4	\$ 33.8	Q2 1999	Q1 2000	Q1 2001	Q2 2001	\$ 1,216	22	39
Lakeside Burbank, CA	748	\$ 55.3	\$ 75.3	Q2 1999	Q1 2000	Q1 2002	Q2 2002	\$ 1,074	114	52
Total/Weighted Average	2,496	\$ 195.0	\$ 263.1						1,520	133

Projected EBITDA as % of Total Budgeted Cost (5)

9.2%

- (1) Redevelopment Communities are communities acquired for which redevelopment costs are expected to exceed 10% of the original acquisition cost or \$5,000,000.
- (2) Total budgeted cost includes all capitalized costs projected to be incurred to redevelop the respective Redevelopment Community, including costs to acquire the community, reconstruction costs, real estate taxes, capitalized interest and loan fees, permits, professional fees, allocated redevelopment overhead and other regulatory fees determined in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.
- (3) Restabilized operations is defined as the first full quarter of 95% or greater occupancy after completion of reconstruction.
- (4) Formerly named Avalon Ridge.
- (5) Projected EBITDA represents management's estimate, as of the date of release of this information, of gross potential community-level earnings projected to be achieved for the first stabilized year following the completion of construction before interest, income taxes (if any), depreciation, amortization and extraordinary items, minus (a) projected economic vacancy and (b) projected stabilized operating expenses. Projected EBITDA does not include either a management fee or any allocation of corporate overhead and is not a measure that can be determined in accordance with GAAP. Management uses its determination of Projected EBITDA to help measure the projected impact that a community under construction may have on company-wide performance once such community is complete and achieves stabilization (i.e., a 95% rate of occupancy.) Projected EBITDA is also a tool that management uses to measure and compare the performance and projected performance of different communities. Projected EBITDA should not be considered as an alternative to operating income, as determined in accordance with GAAP, as an indicator of the Company's or a community's operating performance, or to cash flows from operating activities (as determined in accordance with GAAP) as a measure of liquidity. Projected EBITDA, and in particular community-level EBITDA, as disclosed by other REITs may not be comparable to the Company's calculation of EBITDA."

The following is a "Safe Harbor" Statement under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and Section 21E of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. The projections and estimates contained in the table above are forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties, and actual results may differ materially from those projected in such statements. Risks associated with the Company's development, redevelopment, construction, and lease-up activities, which could impact the forward-looking statements made, include: development opportunities may be abandoned; construction costs of a community may exceed original estimates, possibly making the community uneconomical and/or affecting projected returns; and construction and lease-up may not be completed on schedule, resulting in increased debt service and construction costs.

AvalonBay Communities, Inc. Historical Development and Redevelopment Communities Yield Analysis as of June 30, 2000

Year of Development/Redevelopment	Number of	Number of Capital Apartment Cost (2)		EBITDA as Original	oital Costs (1) Current Actual or	
Completion	Communities	Homes	(millions)	Projection	Yield (3)	Projected (4)
Development Communities						
1994	3	958	\$ 67.6	11.4%	11.8%	15.4%
1995	3	777	84.4	9.6%	11.1%	13.4%
1996	6	866	70.6	10.0%	11.0%	14.3%
1997	8	2,672	331.9	10.1%	11.6%	13.6%
1998	6	2,175	263.2	10.6%	11.4%	12.1%
1999	10	2,335	391.6	10.1%	10.8%	10.8%
2000	4	927	139.2	10.3%	11.0%	11.0%
Total/Weighted Average	40	10,710	\$ 1,348.5	10.3%	11.2%	12.3%
Redevelopment Communities						
1995 (5)	2	406	\$ 23.6	9.8%	10.0%	16.1%
1996	6	1,689	114.9	9.6%	10.4%	13.2%
1997	9	2,037	196.1	9.8%	9.7%	10.7%
1998	8	1,969	195.7	9.5%	9.8%	10.9%
1999	13	4,051	385.5	9.2%	8.9%	8.9%
2000						
Total/Weighted Average	38	10,152	\$ 915.8	9.4%	9.4%	10.1%

- (1) EBITDA represents management's estimate, as of the date of release of this information, of gross community-level earnings achieved at the communities whose development was completed during the indicated year, before interest, income taxes, depreciation, amortization and extraordinary items. EBITDA does not include a management fee or any allocation of corporate overhead and is not a measure that can be determined in accordance with GAAP. Management uses its determination of community-level EBITDA to help measure the impact that a community has on company-wide performance and to measure and compare the performance and projected performance of different communities. EBITDA should not be considered as an alternative to operating income, as determined in accordance with GAAP, as an indicator of the Company's or a community's operating performance, or to cash flows from operating activities (as determined in accordance with GAAP) as a measure of liquidity. EBITDA, and in particular community-level EBITDA, as disclosed by other REITs may not be comparable to the Company's calculation of EBITDA.
- (2) Total capital cost includes all capitalized costs projected to be incurred to develop or redevelop the respective community, including land acquisition costs, construction costs, reconstruction costs, real estate taxes, capitalized interest and loan fees, permits, professional fees, allocated development overhead and other regulatory fees determined in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP").
- (3) Represents actual or projected yield for first full calendar year of stabilized operations.
- (4) Current actuals based on 2000 community operating budgets.
- (5) 1995 Redevelopment was adjusted to exclude Crossbrook which is included in 1999 Redevelopment completions.

The following is a "Safe Harbor " Statement under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and Section 21E of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. The projections and estimates contained in the table above are forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties, and actual results may differ materially from those projected in such statements. Risks associated with the Company's development, redevelopment, construction, and lease-up activities, which could impact the forward-looking statements made, include: development opportunities may be abandoned; construction costs of a community may exceed original estimates, possibly making the community uneconomical and/or affecting projected returns; and construction and lease-up may not be completed on schedule, resulting in increased debt service and construction costs.

AvalonBay Communities, Inc. Summary of Development and Redevelopment Community Activity (1) as of June 30, 2000

DEVELOPMENT						
	Apt Homes Completed & Occupied	Development Community Investments (2)	Value of Homes Completed & Occupied	Remaining to Invest (3)	Construction in Progress at Period End (4)	
1999 (Actual): Quarter 1 Quarter 2 Quarter 3 Quarter 4 Total - 1999	557 926 987 444 2,914	\$ 69,607,901 83,293,408 54,964,558 76,943,804 \$ 284,809,671	\$ 86,362,065 138,357,542 178,392,840 76,134,052 \$ 479,246,499	\$ 147,588,385 126,092,161 158,874,215 214,054,515	\$ 284,491,166 225,566,252 140,952,258 130,487,438	
2000 : Quarter 1 (Actual) Quarter 2 (Actual) Quarter 3 (Projected) Quarter 4 (Projected) Total - 2000	297 226 228 214 965	\$ 37,274,054 49,452,412 49,365,507 41,749,277 \$ 177,841,250	\$ 41,341,864 35,261,899 31,284,198 30,394,227 \$ 138,282,188	\$ 177,624,766 152,474,187 106,997,714 65,248,437	\$ 125,101,865 116,470,704 139,214,100 142,903,950	
REDEVELOPMENT						
	Avg Homes Out of Service	Redevelopment Community Investments (2)		Remaining to Invest (3)	Reconstruction in Progress at Period End (4)	
1999 (Actual): Quarter 1 Quarter 2 Quarter 3 Quarter 4 Total - 1999	296 212 207 80	\$ 15,531,039 17,802,587 17,684,177 11,957,716 \$ 62,975,519		\$ 41,650,143 41,764,758 52,008,299 71,208,982	\$ 64,746,288 53,106,275 32,268,365 11,957,716	
2000 : Quarter 1 (Actual) Quarter 2 (Actual) Quarter 3 (Projected) Quarter 4 (Projected) Total - 2000	69 128 119 112	\$ 10,324,274 13,636,144 16,976,315 16,070,975 \$ 57,007,708		\$ 62,411,878 48,775,734 31,799,419 15,728,444	\$ 15,174,151 21,608,208 12,410,000 11,640,000	

⁽¹⁾ Data is presented for all Historical and Current Development Communities and all Historical and Current Redevelopment Communities currently under construction and those expected to begin within the next 90 days. Does not include data for Presale Communities.

⁽²⁾ Represents amount incurred or expected to be incurred during the quarter.

⁽³⁾ Represents amount remaining to invest on Current and Future Development / Redevelopment Communities under construction or reconstruction during the quarter and those expected to begin within the next 90 days.

⁽⁴⁾ Represents period end balance of construction or reconstruction costs.

AvalonBay Communities, Inc. Future Development and PreSale Commitments as of June 30, 2000

DEVELOPMENT RIGHTS						
Location of Development Right		Estimated Number of Homes	Estimated Budgeted Cost (millions)			
1. Mountain View, CA	(1)	211	\$60			
2. San Jose, CA	(1)	218	42			
3. Freehold, NJ		296	35			
Stamford, CT	(1)	323	61			
5. Orange, CT	(1)	168	18			
New Canaan, CT	(1) (2)	104	26			
7. Darien, CT	(1)	189	37			
8. Yonkers, NY		256	35			
9. Greenburgh - II, NY		500	83			
10. Greenburgh - III, NY	4.3	266	44			
11. Arlington II, VA	(1)	332	40			
12. Hopewell, NJ		280	34			
13. Port Jefferson, NY		232	28			
14. Yorktown, NY		396	47 22			
15. Marlborough, MA		202	22 21			
16. Wilton, CT 17. North Potomac, MD		113 564	64			
18. Los Angeles, CA		309	39			
19. Weymouth, MA		304	33			
20. San Diego, CA	(1)	378	54			
21. Long Island City, NY	(1)	370 372	90			
22. Coram, NY		450	61			
23. Westborough, MA		386	44			
24. Lawrence, NJ		342	38			
25. Wilmington, MA		120	16			
26. North Bethesda, MD		414	42			
27. San Francisco, CA		250	70			
28. Andover, MA		156	20			
29. Seattle, WA		100	19			
30. Washington, D.C.		209	42			
31. Bedford, MA		156	20			
32. Newton, MA		272	48			
33. St. James, NY		112	16			
34. Seattle, WA		175	31			
Totals		9,155	\$1,380			

⁽¹⁾ Company owns land, but construction has not yet begun.

⁽²⁾ The land is currently owned by Town Close Associates Limited Partnership in which the Company is a majority partner. It is currently anticipated that the land seller will retain a minority limited partner interest.

Attachment 11, continued

AvalonBay Communities, Inc. Future Development and PreSale Commitments as of June 30, 2000

PRESALE COMMITMENTS						
	Community Name	Location	Estimated Homes	Estimated Budgeted Cost (millions)(1)	Projected Closing Date	
	Under Construction:					
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	Avalon HighGrove Avalon Palladia Avalon Wynhaven Avalon Brandemoor (2) Avalon WildWood (3)	Everett, WA Everett, WA Hillsboro, OR Issaquah, WA Lynwood, WA Lynwood, WA	234 391 497 333 424 238	\$22.9 39.2 46.3 52.6 44.9 32.5	Q3 2000 Q4 2000 Q3 2000 Q1 2001 Q2 2001 Q2 2001	
7.	Preconstruction: Avalon Greyrock Total	Stamford, CT	306 2,423	57.3 \$ 295.7	Q4 2002	
1. 2.	Completed and Acquired: Avalon RockMeadow Avalon ParcSquare	Mill Creek, WA Redmond, WA	206 124 330	\$24.1 19.0 \$ 43.1	Q2 2000 Q2 2000	

PRESALE COMMUNITIES

	Presale Community Investments (4)	Remaining to Invest (5)
1999 (Actual):		
Quarter 1	\$ 23,825,992	\$202,374,990
Quarter 2	33,852,583	168,473,777
Quarter 3	57,519,092	111,016,179
Quarter 4	30,042,853	81,016,887
Total - 1999	\$145,240,520	
<u>2000:</u>		
Quarter 1 (Actual)	\$ 21,198,846	\$ 59,823,843
Quarter 2 (Actual)	23,979,921	34,143,922
Quarter 3 (Projected)	13,291,559	20,852,363
Quarter 4 (Projected)	11,086,452	9,765,911
Total - 2000	\$ 69,556,778	

- (1) Estimated Budgeted Cost does not include any AVB overhead allocations incurred during the construction of the Presale Communities.
- (2) Formerly named Avalon BelleGate.
- (3) Formerly named Avalon Brandemoor.
- (4) Represents amount incurred or expected to be incurred during the quarter.
- (5) Represents amount remaining to invest on Presale Communities as of quarter end.

AvalonBay Communities, Inc. Summary of Disposition Activity as of June 30, 2000

Community Name	Location	Gross Sales Price
Q1 1999: 1. Blairmore	Rancho Cordova, CA	\$13,250,000
Q2 1999: 1. Avalon at Park Center 2. Avalon at Lake Arbor 3. Avalon Station 4. Avalon Gayton 5. Avalon Boulders Subtotal	Alexandria, VA Mitchellville, MD Fredricksburg, VA Richmond, VA Richmond, VA	44,250,000 14,160,000 12,734,000 18,418,000 16,075,000
Q3 1999: 1. The Pointe 2. Avalon at Willow Lake 3. Avalon at Geist Subtotal	Fairfield, CA Indianapolis, IN Indianapolis, IN	24,350,000 14,350,000 10,300,000 49,000,000
Q4 1999: 1. Avalon at Oxford Hill 2. Avalon at Montgomery 3. Avalon Heights 4. Fairlane Woods (1) 5. Rivershore 6. Avalon at Hampton I & II 7. Avalon Park	St. Louis, MO Cincinnati, OH Detroit, MI Detroit, MI Pittsburg, CA Hampton, VA Manassas, VA	29,900,000 15,600,000 15,150,000 26,500,000 13,300,000 23,575,000 25,800,000
Subtotal 1999 Total		149,825,000
Q1 2000: 1. Avalon Chase	Marlton, NJ	317,712,000 29,700,000
Q2 2000: 1. Avalon Pines 2. Avalon Birches	Virginia Beach, VA Chesapeake, VA	11,000,000 21,000,000
2000 Total		61,700,000
Total		\$379,412,000
Weighted Average Initial Year Ma	8.4%	

⁽¹⁾ Fairlane Woods was a Note, not an owned community.

⁽²⁾ Based on the next 12 months NOI after adjustments for management fee (3.5%) and reserves (\$225/apt).