# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

# FORM 8-K

# CURRENT REPORT

# PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Date of Report (Date of earliest event reported): April 29, 2003

AVALONBAY COMMUNITIES, INC. (Exact name of registrant as specified in charter)

MARYLAND (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation) 1-12672 (Commission file number) 77-0404318 (IRS employer identification no.)

2900 EISENHOWER AVENUE, SUITE 300, ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(703) 329-6300

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Item 7. Exhibits.

(c) Exhibits. The following exhibit is being furnished herewith:

Exhibit Number	Title
99.1	Press Release of AvalonBay Communities, Inc. dated April 29, 2003, including Supplemental Attachments.
Item 9.	Regulation FD Disclosure (Information provided under Item 12 — Results

of Operations and Financial Condition).

The following information is being provided under Item 12 — Results of Operations and Financial Condition. It is being furnished under Item 9 of this Form 8-K in accordance with interim guidance issued by the SEC in release No. 33-8216. Such information, including the Exhibit attached hereto, shall not be deemed "filed" for any purpose, including for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), or otherwise subject to the liabilities of that section, nor shall it be deemed incorporated by reference in any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Exchange Act, regardless of any general incorporation language in such filing.

On April 29, 2003, AvalonBay Communities, Inc. issued a press release announcing its financial results for the first quarter of 2003. That release referred to certain attachments with supplemental information that were available on the Company's website. The full text of the press release, including the supplemental attachments referred to within the release, is attached as Exhibit 99.1 hereto and is incorporated by reference herein.

[Remainder of page left blank intentionally]

# SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the registrant has duly caused this report to be filed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

AVALONBAY COMMUNITIES, INC

By: /s/ Thomas J. Sargeant

Thomas J. Sargeant Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Dated: April 29, 2003

Exhibit Index

99.1 Press Release of AvalonBay Communities, Inc. dated April 29, 2003, including Supplemental Attachments.

#### AvalonBay Communities, Inc.

For Immediate News Release April 29, 2003

# AVALONBAY COMMUNITIES, INC. ANNOUNCES FIRST QUARTER 2003 OPERATING RESULTS

(Alexandria, VA) AvalonBay Communities, Inc. (NYSE/PCX: AVB) reported today that Net Income Available to Common Stockholders for the quarter ended March 31, 2003 was \$33,700,000, resulting in Earnings per Share ("EPS") of \$0.49 (diluted), compared to \$0.51 (diluted) for the comparable period of 2002, a per share decrease of 3.9%.

Funds from Operations ("FFO") for the quarter ended March 31, 2003 was \$57,557,000 or \$0.83 per share (diluted) compared to \$69,710,000 or \$0.99 per share (diluted) for the comparable period of 2002, a per share decrease of 16.2%.

Net Operating Income ("NOI") from continuing operations for the quarter ended March 31, 2003 decreased by \$4,258,000 or 4.0% to \$103,504,000 compared to the comparable period of 2002. NOI from assets sold or held for sale as of March 31, 2003 decreased by \$1,638,000 or 31.0% to \$3,643,000 for the quarter ended March 31, 2003 as compared to the comparable period in 2002.

# Operating Results for the Quarter Ended March 31, 2003 Compared to the Prior Year Period

For the Company, including discontinued operations, total revenue increased by \$1,415,000, or 0.9% to \$160,224,000, and earnings before interest, income taxes, depreciation and amortization ("EBITDA") decreased by \$5,812,000 or 5.8% to \$95,253,000. EBITDA during the quarter ended March 31, 2003 was impacted by lower revenue from soft market conditions and higher expenses due to inclement weather. The Company estimates that severe winter weather impacted overall operating results by approximately \$1,440,000.

For Established Communities, rental revenue decreased 5.8%, comprised of a rental rate decline of 6.2% and an increase in economic occupancy of 0.4%. Total revenue decreased \$6,962,000 to \$113,354,000 and operating expenses increased \$2,969,000, or 9.2%. Accordingly, NOI decreased by \$9,931,000 or 11.3%. The Company estimates that severe winter weather contributed to the increase in operating expenses and the decrease in NOI for Established Communities by approximately \$970,000.

The following table reflects the percentage changes in rental revenue, operating expenses and NOI for Established Communities from the first quarter of 2002 to the first quarter of 2003:

	Established Communities		
Rental Revenue	Operating Expenses	NOI	% of NOI
(5.2%)	14.4%	(12.7%)	35.9%
(3.8%)	10.3%	(8.7%)	15.6%
(7.9%)	9.8%	(20.3%)	3.9%
(9.0%)	1.2%	(13.9%)	5.3%
(8.9%)	4.6%	(13.1%)	26.3%
2.9%	8.1%	0.9%	13.0%
(5.8%)	9.2%	(11.3%)	100.0%
	Revenue           (5.2%)           (3.8%)           (7.9%)           (9.0%)           (8.9%)           2.9%	Rental Revenue         Operating Expenses           (5.2%)         14.4%           (3.8%)         10.3%           (7.9%)         9.8%           (9.0%)         1.2%           (8.9%)         4.6%           2.9%         8.1%	Rental Revenue         Operating Expenses         NOI           (5.2%)         14.4%         (12.7%)           (3.8%)         10.3%         (8.7%)           (7.9%)         9.8%         (20.3%)           (9.0%)         1.2%         (13.9%)           (8.9%)         4.6%         (13.1%)           2.9%         8.1%         0.9%

\* Total represents each region's % of total NOI from the Company, including discontinued operations.

Copyright © 2003 AvalonBay Communities, Inc. All Rights Reserved

#### Sequential Operating Results for the Quarter Ended March 31, 2003 Compared to the Quarter Ended December 31, 2002

The following table reflects the sequential percentage changes in rental revenue, operating expenses and NOI for Established Communities from the fourth quarter of 2002 to the first quarter of 2003:

		Established Communities		
	Rental Revenue	Operating Expenses	NOI	
Northeast	(1.3%)	4.7%	(4.4%)	
Mid-Atlantic	(0.8%)	5.7%	(3.3%)	
Midwest	0.9%	20.1%	(12.5%)	
Pacific NW	(2.0%)	(0.4%)	(2.8%)	
No. California	(2.0%)	(8.1%)	0.5%	
So. California	(0.5%)	2.7%	(1.7%)	
Total	(1.3%)	1.2%	(2.5%)	
		_		

# **Established Communities Operating Statistics**

<u>Market Rents</u>, as determined by the Company, declined by an average of 2.0% in the first quarter compared to the same quarter in the prior year. The greatest declines, on a year over year basis, were in Oakland-East Bay, CA with a decline of 6.1% from the first quarter of 2002, San Jose, CA with a decline of 5.7% and New York with a decline of 4.0%. Sequentially, as compared to the fourth quarter of 2002, market rents declined 0.7% with the largest declines in Chicago at 1.6% and in Fairfield-New Haven, CT at 1.6%.

Economic Occupancy was 93.4% during the first quarter 2003, increasing 0.4% over the same quarter last year. Sequentially, from the fourth quarter of 2002 to the first quarter of 2003, Economic Occupancy decreased 0.5%. The largest declines in the first quarter 2003 as compared to the fourth quarter 2002 were in Los Angeles at 2.9% and Central New Jersey at 2.4%.

<u>Cash concessions</u>, as a percentage of Market Rent for Established Communities averaged 2.2% during the first quarter of 2003, as compared to 1.4% in the first quarter of 2002 and 2.6% in the fourth quarter of 2002. During the first quarter of 2003, cash concessions as a percentage of Market Rent were highest in Chicago at 5.5% and Washington, D.C. at 4.4%.

In accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("GAAP"), cash concessions are amortized over the approximate lease term, which is generally one year. However, the Company considers rental revenue with concessions stated on a cash basis to be a supplemental measure to rental revenue in conformity with GAAP in helping investors to understand the impact of concessions on rental revenue and to more readily enable comparisons to revenue as reported by other companies. A reconciliation of rental revenue from Established Communities in conformity with GAAP to rental revenue adjusted to state concessions on a cash basis is as follows (dollars in thousands):

		Established Communities		
	Q1 03	Q1 02	Q4 02	
Rental revenue (GAAP basis)	\$113,332	\$120,293	\$114,843	
Concessions amortized	2,539	1,151	2,188	
Concessions granted	(2,613)	(1,741)	(3,225)	
Rental revenue (cash basis)	\$113,258	\$119,703	\$113,806	
Q1 03 % change - GAAP revenue		(5.8%)	(1.3%)	
Q1 03 % change - cash revenue		(5.4%)	(0.5%)	

#### **Development Activity**

The Company completed two development communities during the first quarter of 2003 for a Total Capital Cost, in the aggregate, of approximately \$99,100,000. Avalon on Stamford Harbor, located in Stamford, Connecticut, is a mid-rise community containing 323 apartment homes and was completed for a Total Capital Cost of \$60,700,000. Avalon at Flanders Hill, located in the greater Boston, Massachusetts area, is a garden-style community containing 280 apartment homes and was completed for a Total Capital Cost of \$38,400,000.

### **Disposition Activity**

The Company sold one community, Avalon Westside Terrace (located in Los Angeles, California), during the first quarter of 2003. This 363 apartment home community was originally constructed in 1966 and was acquired by the Company in 1997. The sales price for this community was \$46,700,000, resulting in a gain as reported in accordance with GAAP of \$14,072,000. The gross capitalized cost (before accumulated depreciation) at the date of sale was \$37,252,000 and the Initial Year Market Cap Rate relating to this community was 5.9%.

### Financing, Liquidity and Balance Sheet Statistics

As of March 31, 2003, the Company had \$175,000,000 outstanding under its \$500,000,000 unsecured credit facility and unrestricted cash of approximately \$47,853,000. Unrestricted cash as of March 31, 2003 included the net proceeds from the sale of Avalon Westside Terrace of approximately \$46,400,000, which were used to repay a portion of the unsecured credit facility subsequent to March 31, 2003. The remaining available cash, the unsecured credit facility, net proceeds from anticipated asset sales in 2003 and cash retained from operations, will be used to fund development and redevelopment activity, provide letters of credit, repay debt and repurchase/redeem equity securities.

Leverage, as measured by debt as a percentage of total market capitalization, was 48.8% at March 31, 2003. EBITDA covered interest expense (including discontinued operations), net of interest income, by 2.8 times. For the first quarter of 2003, Unencumbered NOI was approximately 80%.

### Stock Activity

In July 2002, the Company announced a common stock repurchase program, under which the Company may acquire shares of its common stock in open market or negotiated transactions. As of March 31, 2003, the Company had repurchased 2,380,600 shares of common stock at an aggregate cost of \$89,566,000 through this program. Of the aggregate shares repurchased, the Company repurchased 1,099,000 during the quarter ended March 31, 2003 at an average price of \$36.24 per share.

On March 20, 2003, the Company redeemed all 3,267,700 outstanding shares of its 8.00% Series D Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock at a price of \$25.00 per share, plus \$0.0167 in accrued and unpaid dividends. The redemption was funded by the sale on March 18, 2003 of 3,336,611 shares of Series J Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock through a private placement to an institutional investor. The dividend rate on the Series J Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock is initially based upon three month LIBOR plus 1.5% and is redeemable at any time at the Company's option. The Company expects to redeem all of the Series J Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock during the second quarter of 2003.

# Adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123

Effective January 1, 2003, the Company adopted the fair value recognition provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," prospectively to all employee stock option awards granted, modified, or settled on or after January 1, 2003. The adoption of this statement impacted EPS for the quarter ended March 31, 2003 by less than \$0.01.

### Outlook

For the second quarter 2003, the Company expects EPS (diluted) in the range of \$1.18 to \$1.23 and FFO per share (diluted) in the range of \$0.79 to \$0.84. For the full year 2003, the Company expects EPS (diluted) in the range of \$3.17 to \$3.37, reflecting the impact of additional planned dispositions. The Company provided a full year 2003 range for FFO per share (diluted) of \$3.15 to \$3.35 in December 2002 and affirms that range as of April 29, 2003.

# **Other Matters**

The Company will hold a conference call on April 30, 2003 at 1:00 PM Eastern Time (EDT) to review and answer appropriate questions about these results and projections, the earnings release attachments described below, and related matters. The domestic number to call to participate is 1-877-510-2397. The international number to call to participate is 1-706-634-5877. The domestic number to hear a replay of this call is 1-800-642-1687, and the international number to hear a replay of this call is 1-706-645-9291 — Access Code: 9409911.

A webcast of the conference call will also be available at http://www.avalonbay.com/earnings, and an on-line playback of the webcast will be available for at least 30 days following the call.

In addition to Attachment 13 included herein and referred to below, the Company produces additional Earnings Release Attachments (collectively, with Attachment 13, the "Attachments") that provide detailed information regarding operating, development, redevelopment, disposition and acquisition activity. These Attachments are considered a part of this earnings release and are available in full with this earnings release via the Company's website and through e-mail distribution. Access to the full earnings release including the Attachments through the Company's website is available at http://www.avalonbay.com/earnings. If you would like to receive future press releases via e-mail, please register through the Company's website at http://www.avalonbay.com/Template.cfm?Section=Subscribe. Some items referenced in the earnings release may require the Adobe Acrobat 5.0 Reader. If you do not have the Adobe Acrobat 5.0 Reader, you may download it at the following website address: http://www.adobe.com/products/acrobat/readstep.html.

#### **Definitions and Reconciliations**

The following non-GAAP financial measures and other terms, as used in the text of this earnings release, are defined and further explained on Attachment 13, "Definitions and Reconciliations of Non-GAAP Financial Measures and Other Terms":

- FFO
- NOI
- EBITDA
- Market Rents
- Economic Occupancy
- Leverage
- Total Capital Cost
- Initial Year Market Cap Rate
- Unencumbered NOI

# About AvalonBay Communities, Inc.

AvalonBay currently owns or holds an ownership interest in 147 apartment communities containing 43,245 apartment homes in eleven states and the District of Columbia, of which ten communities are under construction and two communities are under reconstruction. AvalonBay is an equity REIT in the business of developing, redeveloping, acquiring and managing upscale apartment communities in high barrier-to-entry markets of the United States. More information on AvalonBay may be found on

AvalonBay's Website at http://www.avalonbay.com. For additional information, please contact Bryce Blair, Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President, at (703) 317-4652 or Thomas J. Sargeant, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, at (703) 317-4635.

### Forward-Looking Statements

This release, including its attachments, contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. You can identify these forward-looking statements by the Company's use of the words "expects," "plans," "estimates," "projects," "intends," "believes" and similar expressions. Actual results may differ materially from those expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements as a result of certain risks and uncertainties, including, but not limited to, possible changes in demand for apartment homes, the effects of economic conditions (including interest rates), the impact of competition and competitive pricing, delays in completing developments and lease-ups on schedule, changes in construction costs, the results of financing efforts, the timing and closing of planned dispositions under agreement, the effects of the Company's accounting policies and other matters detailed in the Company's filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002 under the heading "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations — Forward-Looking Statements".

The Company does not undertake a duty to update forward-looking statements, including its expected operating results for the second quarter or the full year 2003. The Company may, in its discretion, provide information in future public announcements regarding its outlook that may be of interest to the investment community. The format and extent of future outlooks may be different from the format and extent of the information contained in this release.

#### Copyright © 2003 AvalonBay Communities, Inc. All Rights Reserved



# FIRST QUARTER 2003

Supplemental Operating and Financial Data



Avalon New Canaan, located in New Canaan, Connecticut, contains 104 apartment homes featuring fully applianced kitchens, private patios or balconies, walk-in closets, full size washers and dryers and upgraded kitchens. Optional amenities include gas fireplaces, lofts, bay windows, direct-access garages and detached garages. Residents also enjoy many community amenities including a clubhouse, fully equipped fitness center and outdoor heated pool.

Avalon New Canaan is conveniently located one mile from the Merritt Parkway and three miles from I-95. The community is also located within walking distance of downtown New Canaan and New Canaan's Metro North Train station with commuter service to New York City, providing easy access to the area's employment centers.

New Canaan, Connecticut has difficult zoning and entitlement restrictions that create a high barrier-to-entry market. The Company worked diligently over a nine-year period to gain the entitlements to build this community. Avalon New Canaan exemplifies the essence of the AvalonBay story - developing assets and creating value in the most supply-constrained markets in the country.

# **FIRST QUARTER 2003**

# Supplemental Operating and Financial Data

# Table of Contents

	Company Profile
Attachment 1	Selected Operating and Other Information
Attachment 2	Detailed Operating Information
Attachment 3	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets
	Sub-Market Profile
Attachment 4	Quarterly Revenue and Occupancy Changes (Established Communities)
Attachment 5	Sequential Quarterly Revenue and Occupancy Changes (Established Communities)
	Development, Redevelopment, Acquisition and Disposition Profile
Attachment 6	Summary of Development, Redevelopment and Acquisition Activity
Attachment 7	Development Communities
Attachment 8	Redevelopment Communities
Attachment 9	Historical Development and Redevelopment Communities
Attachment 10	Summary of Development and Redevelopment Community Activity
Attachment 11	Future Development
Attachment 12	Summary of Disposition Activity
	Definitions and Reconciliations
Attachment 13	Definitions and Reconciliations of Non-GAAP Financial Measures and Other Terms

The following is a "Safe Harbor" Statement under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and Section 21E of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. The projections and estimates contained in the attachments referred to above are forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties, and actual results may differ materially from those projected in such statements. Risks associated with the Company's development, redevelopment, construction, and lease-up activities, which could impact the forward-looking statements made, include: development opportunities may be abandoned; total capital cost of a community may exceed original estimates, possibly making the community uneconomical and/or affecting projected returns; construction and lease-up may not be completed on schedule, resulting in increased debt service and construction costs; and other risks described in the Company's filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002.

# AvalonBay Communities, Inc. Selected Operating and Other Information March 31, 2003 (Dollars in thousands except per share data) (unaudited)

Selected Operating Information:	Q1 2003	Q1 2002	\$ Change	% Change
Net income available to common stockholders:	\$ 33,700	\$ 35,690	\$ (1,990)	(5.6%)
Per common share — basic	\$ 0.50	\$ 0.52	\$ (0.02)	(3.8%)
Per common share — diluted	\$ 0.49	\$ 0.51	\$ (0.02)	(3.9%)
Funds from Operations :	\$ 57,557	\$ 69,710	\$(12,153)	(17.4%)
Per common share — diluted	\$ 0.83	\$ 0.99	\$ (0.16)	(16.2%)
Dividends declared — common:	\$ 47,169	\$ 48,289	\$ (1,120)	(2.3%)
Per common share	\$ 0.70	\$ 0.70	\$ —	
Total EBITDA	\$ 95,253	\$ 101,065	\$ (5,812)	(5.8%)
Common shares outstanding	67,383,831	68,984,910		
Outstanding operating partnership units	975,751	905,946		
Total outstanding shares and units	68,359,582	69,890,856		
Average shares outstanding — basic	67,625,081	68,543,374		
Average operating partnership units outstanding	975,751	905,946		
Effect of dilutive securities	515,951	1,172,533		
Average shares outstanding — diluted	69,116,783	70,621,853		

		Debt Composition and Maturities <sup>(1)</sup>				
	-	Conventional		Ta	Tax-Exempt	
	-	Amt	% of Mkt Cap	Amt	% of Mkt	Cap
Long-term notes:			-			-
Variable rate		\$ —	_	\$108,754	2.1	%
Fixed rate		1,959,539	37.0%	303,989	5.7	%
Variable rate credit facility & short term note		211,089	4.0%	_		-
						-
Total debt		\$2,170,628	41.0%	\$412,743	7.8	3%
Average interest rates <sup>(2)</sup>			6.5%		5.4%	
Combined average interest rate <sup>(3)</sup>			6.	.5%		
	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	
Remaining debt maturities <sup>(3)</sup>	\$139	\$153	\$154	\$155	\$301	

(1) Includes debt related to assets held for sale.

(2) Includes credit enhancement fees, facility fees, trustees' fees, etc.

(3) Excludes amounts under the \$500 million variable rate credit facility that, after all extensions, matures in 2005.

	Community Information	Communities	Apt Homes
Current Communities		137	40,419
Development Communities		10	2,826
Development Rights		39	10,133
Third-party management		1	101

	Analysis of Capitalized Costs			
	Q2 02	Q3 02	Q4 02	Q1 03
Cap interest	\$8,020	\$6,733	\$6,533	\$6,206
Cap overhead	\$4,665	\$4,856	\$4,050	\$3,176
Non-revenue generating capex per home	\$ 82	\$ 81	\$ 89	\$ 41

### AvalonBay Communities, Inc. Detailed Operating Information March 31, 2003 (Dollars in thousands except per share data) (unaudited)

	Q1 2003	Q1 2002	\$ Change	% Change
Revenue:				
Rental income	\$153,522	\$145,578	\$ 7,944	5.5%
Management fees	248	388	(140)	(36.1%)
Other income (1)	186	4,537	(4,351)	(95.9%)
Total	153,956	150,503	3,453	2.3%
Operating expenses:				
Direct property operating expenses, excluding property taxes	35,752	29,893	5,859	19.6%
Property taxes	14,700	12,848	1,852	14.4%
Property management and other indirect operating expenses	7,997	8,486	(489)	(5.8%)
Total	58,449	51,227	7,222	14.1%
Interest income	902	1,132	(230)	(20.3%)
Interest expense	(34,369)	(27,351)	(7,018)	25.7%
General and administrative expense	(3,631)	(3,607)	(24)	0.7%
Joint venture income and minority interest	(70)	316	(386)	(122.2%)
Depreciation expense	(37,971)	(32,151)	(5,820)	18.1%
Income from continuing operations	20,368	37,615	(17,247)	(45.9%)
Discontinued operations: (2)				
Income from discontinued operations	2,948	3,106	(158)	(5.1%)
Gain on sale of communities	14,072		14,072	100.0%
Total	17,020	3,106	13,914	448.0%
Net income	37,388	40,721	(3,333)	(8.2%)
Dividends attributable to preferred stock	(3,688)	(5,031)	1,343	(26.7%)
Net income available to common stockholders	\$ 33,700	\$ 35,690	\$ (1,990)	(5.6%)
Net income per common share- basic	\$ 0.50	\$ 0.52	\$ (0.02)	(2.80/)
Net meome per common snare- basic	\$ 0.50	\$ 0.52	\$ (0.02)	(3.8%)
Net income per common share- diluted	\$ 0.49	\$ 0.51	\$ (0.02)	(3.9%)

(1) Other income includes \$3.7 million in the quarter ended March 31, 2002 of business interruption insurance proceeds related to the Avalon at Edgewater insurance settlement.

(2) Reflects net income for communities held for sale as of March 31, 2003 and communities sold during the period from The following table details income from discontinued operations as of the periods shown: January 1, 2002 through March 31, 2003.

	Q1 2003	Q1 2002
Rental income	\$ 6,268	\$ 8,306
Operating and other expenses	(2,625)	(3,025)
Interest expense, net	(195)	(192)
Minority interest	(196)	(201)
Depreciation expense	(304)	(1,782)
Income from discontinued operations	\$ 2,948	\$ 3,106
	¢ <u>_</u> ,,	\$ 5,100

# AvalonBay Communities, Inc. Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets March 31, 2003 (Dollars in thousands) (unaudited)

	March 31, 2003	December 31, 2002
Net real estate	\$4,363,380	\$4,312,262
Construction in progress (including land)	314,986	312,425
Real estate assets held for sale, net	128,266	160,744
Total real estate, net	4,806,632	4,785,431
Cash and cash equivalents (1)	47,853	12,934
Cash in escrow	9,899	10,239
Resident security deposits	21,500	21,839
Other assets (2)	118,346	120,392
Total assets	\$5,004,230	\$4,950,835
Unsecured senior notes	\$1,935,328	\$1,985,342
Unsecured facility	175,000	28,970
Notes payable	446,066	429,546
Liabilities related to assets held for sale	29,848	29,412
Other liabilities	190,022	205,582
Total liabilities	\$2,776,264	\$2,678,852
Minority interest	76,442	77,443
Stockholders' equity	2,151,524	2,194,540
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$5,004,230	\$4,950,835

(1) Cash and cash equivalents as of March 31, 2003 includes proceeds from the sale of Avalon Westside Terrace, which closed on March 28, 2003.

(2) Other assets includes \$1,142 and \$1,464 relating to discontinued operations as of March 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002, respectively.

# AvalonBay Communities, Inc. Quarterly Revenue and Occupancy Changes — Established Communities <sup>(1)</sup> March 31, 2003

	Apartment Homes	А	verage Rental Ra	ates <sup>(2)</sup>	Eco	onomic Occup	ancy	F	Rental Revenue (\$000's)	
		Q1 03	Q1 02	% Change	Q1 03	Q1 02	% Change	Q1 03	Q1 02	% Change
Northeast										
Fairfield-New Haven, CT	2,170	\$1,611	\$1,620	(0.6%)	89.8%	95.3%	(5.5%)	\$ 9,417	\$ 10,027	(6.1%)
Boston, MA	1,479	1,722	1,810	(4.9%)	90.8%	94.1%	(3.3%)	6,938	7,554	(8.2%)
New York, NY	1,234	1,942	2,001	(2.9%)	94.6%	90.5%	4.1%	6,804	6,721	1.2%
Northern New Jersey	1,043	2,220	2,613	(15.0%)	89.4%	88.6%	0.8%	6,213	7,243	(14.2%)
Long Island, NY	915	2,161	2,090	3.4%	99.0%	97.8%	1.2%	5,873	5,614	4.6%
Central New Jersey	718	1,412	1,454	(2.9%)	90.3%	92.6%	(2.3%)	2,747	2,897	(5.2%)
5										
Northeast Average	7,559	1,819	1,898	(4.2%)	92.1%	93.1%	(1.0%)	37,992	40,056	(5.2%)
Mid-Atlantic										
Washington, DC	3,630	1,366	1,433	(4.7%)	92.2%	92.8%	(0.6%)	13,721	14,483	(5.3%)
Baltimore, MD	1,054	1,121	1,079	3.9%	95.0%	96.2%	(1.2%)	3,367	3,278	2.7%
Buttimore, MB	1,001	1,121					(1.270)			
Mid-Atlantic Average	4,684	1,311	1,353	(3.1%)	92.7%	93.4%	(0.7%)	17,088	17,761	(3.8%)
while / thankie / Werage	4,004	1,511	1,555	(5.170)	/2.7/0	///////////////////////////////////////	(0.770)	17,000	17,701	(5.670)
Midwest										
Chicago, IL	1,296	1,171	1,223	(4.3%)	90.1%	93.7%	(3.6%)	4,099	4,453	(7.9%)
Clincago, IL	1,290	1,171	1,223	(4.370)	90.170	95.770	(3.070)	4,099	4,455	(7.970)
Midwaat Avanaga	1,296	1,171	1,223	(4.20/)	90.1%	93.7%	(2, 60/)	4,099	4,453	(7.00/)
Midwest Average	1,290	1,1/1	1,225	(4.3%)	90.170	95.7%	(3.6%)	4,099	4,435	(7.9%)
D (C ) (I )										
Pacific Northwest	2.426	1.004	1 107	(7.40())	00.00/	02 (0/	(1 (0))	6.004	<b>7 5</b> ( <b>1</b>	(0.00())
Seattle, WA	2,436	1,024	1,106	(7.4%)	92.0%	93.6%	(1.6%)	6,884	7,564	(9.0%)
		1.024	1.100	(7.40())			(1 (0))			(0,00())
Pacific Northwest Average	2,436	1,024	1,106	(7.4%)	92.0%	93.6%	(1.6%)	6,884	7,564	(9.0%)
Northern California	4.000	1 10=	4 500	(10 =0.()	0.5.00/	00.00/		00.405		(0.00()
San Jose, CA	4,808	1,487	1,723	(13.7%)	95.2%	90.8%	4.4%	20,425	22,522	(9.3%)
Oakland-East Bay, CA	2,090	1,263	1,409	(10.4%)	95.6%	92.8%	2.8%	7,573	8,192	(7.6%)
San Francisco, CA	1,765	1,572	1,710	(8.1%)	94.8%	95.9%	(1.1%)	7,888	8,683	(9.2%)
Northern California										
Average	8,663	1,450	1,644	(11.8%)	95.2%	92.3%	2.9%	35,886	39,397	(8.9%)
Southern California										
Orange County, CA	1,350	1,197	1,189	0.7%	96.1%	94.6%	1.5%	4,658	4,557	2.2%
San Diego, CA	940	1,259	1,237	1.8%	95.3%	94.3%	1.0%	3,382	3,289	2.8%
Los Angeles, CA	890	1,308	1,284	1.9%	95.7%	93.7%	2.0%	3,343	3,216	3.9%
Southern California										
Average	3,180	1,246	1,228	1.5%	95.7%	94.3%	1.4%	11,383	11,062	2.9%
Average/Total										
Established	27,818	\$1,453	\$1,549	(6.2%)	93.4%	93.0%	0.4%	\$113,332	\$120,293	(5.8%)
		-		. /		_	_			. ,

(1) Established Communities are communities with stabilized operating costs as of January 1, 2002 such that a comparison of 2002 to 2003 is meaningful.
 (2) Reflects the effect of concessions amortized over the lease term.

# AvalonBay Communities, Inc. \*Sequential Quarterly\* Revenue and Occupancy Changes — Established Communities <sup>(1)</sup> March 31, 2003

	Apartment Homes	Av	erage Rental Ra	tes <sup>(2)</sup>	Eco	onomic Occup	ancy	R	ental Revenue (\$000's)	1
		Q1 03	Q4 02	% Change	Q1 03	Q4 02	% Change	Q1 03	Q4 02	% Change
Northeast										
Fairfield-New Haven, CT	2,170	\$1,611	\$1,629	(1.1%)	89.8%	89.1%	0.7%	\$ 9,417	\$ 9,457	(0.4%)
Boston, MA	1,479	1,722	1,739	(1.0%)	90.8%	92.3%	(1.5%)	6,938	7,119	(2.5%)
New York, NY	1,234	1,942	1,946	(0.2%)	94.6%	94.5%	0.1%	6,804	6,811	(0.1%)
Northern New Jersey	1,043	2,220	2,274	(2.4%)	89.4%	91.3%	(1.9%)	6,213	6,494	(4.3%)
Long Island, NY	915	2,161	2,138	1.1%	99.0%	98.8%	0.2%	5,873	5,799	1.3%
Central New Jersey	718	1,412	1,413	(0.1%)	90.3%	92.7%	(2.4%)	2,747	2,817	(2.5%)
Northeast Average	7,559	1,819	1,833	(0.8%)	92.1%	92.6%	(0.5%)	37,992	38,497	(1.3%)
Mid-Atlantic										
Washington, DC	3,630	1,366	1,388	(1.6%)	92.2%	91.6%	0.6%	13,721	13,859	(1.0%)
Baltimore, MD	1,054	1,121	1,388	(0.2%)	92.2%	94.6%	0.0%	3,367	3,359	0.2%
Battinore, MD	1,054	1,121	1,125	(0.276)	93.070	94.070	0.470	5,507	5,559	0.270
Mid-Atlantic Average	4,684	1,311	1,330	(1.4%)	92.7%	92.1%	0.6%	17,088	17,218	(0.8%)
Midwest										
Chicago, IL	1,296	1,171	1,168	0.3%	90.1%	89.5%	0.6%	4,099	4,063	0.9%
Midwest Average	1,296	1,171	1,168	0.3%	90.1%	89.5%	0.6%	4,099	4,063	0.9%
Pacific Northwest										
Seattle, WA	2,436	1,024	1,032	(0.8%)	92.0%	93.2%	(1.2%)	6,884	7,024	(2.0%)
Pacific Northwest Average	2,436	1,024	1,032	(0.8%)	92.0%	93.2%	(1.2%)	6,884	7,024	(2.0%)
Northern California										
San Jose, CA	4,808	1,487	1,519	(2.1%)	95.2%	95.2%	0.0%	20,425	20,863	(2.1%)
Oakland-East Bay, CA	2,090	1,263	1,276	(1.0%)	95.6%	96.2%	(0.6%)	7,573	7,695	(1.6%)
San Francisco, CA	1,765	1,572	1,593	(1.3%)	94.8%	95.4%	(0.6%)	7,888	8,044	(1.9%)
Northern California Average	8,663	1,450	1,475	(1.7%)	95.2%	95.5%	(0.3%)	35,886	36,602	(2.0%)
Southern California										
Orange County, CA	1,350	1,197	1,183	1.2%	96.1%	97.2%	(1.1%)	4,658	4,653	0.1%
San Diego, CA	940	1,259	1,248	0.9%	95.3%	97.1%	(1.8%)	3,382	3,413	(0.9%)
Los Angeles, CA	890	1,308	1,282	2.0%	95.7%	98.6%	(2.9%)	3,343	3,373	(0.9%)
Southern California Average	3,180	1,246	1,229	1.4%	95.7%	97.6%	(1.9%)	11,383	11,439	(0.5%)
Average/Total Established	27,818	\$1,453	\$1,465	(0.8%)	93.4%	93.9%	(0.5%)	\$113,332	\$114,843	(1.3%)
<b>C</b>	,	. ,		()			(	,	,	

(1) Established Communities are communities with stabilized operating costs as of January 1, 2002 such that a comparison of 2002 to 2003 is meaningful.
 (2) Reflects the effect of concessions amortized over the lease term.

# AvalonBay Communities, Inc. Summary of Development, Redevelopment and Acquisition Activity as of March 31, 2003

		Number of Communities	Number of Homes	Total Capital Cost (1) (millions)
Portfolio Additions:		Communities	Homes	(initions)
2002 Annual Completions				
Development		10	2,521	\$ 466.6
Redevelopment	(2)	2	_	44.2
Presale Communities		1	306	69.9
Total Additions		13	2,827	\$ 580.7
2003 Annual Completions	(3)			
Development	. ,	5	1,442	\$ 274.5
Redevelopment	(2)	1	_	20.6
Presale Communities				
Total Additions		6	1,442	\$ 295.1
<u>Pipeline Activity:</u>	(3)			
Currently Under Construction				
Development Communities		10	2,826	\$ 546.6
Redevelopment Communities	(2)	2		28.2
Subtotal		12	2,826	574.8
Planning				
Development Rights		39	10,133	2,067.0
		<u> </u>	12.050	¢ 2 (41 9
Total Pipeline		51	12,959	\$2,641.8

(1) See Attachment #13 — Definitions and Reconciliations of Non-GAAP Financial Measures and Other Terms.

(2) Represents only cost of redevelopment activity, does not include original acquisition cost or number of apartment homes acquired.

(3) Information contains projections and estimates.

This chart contains forward-looking statements. Please see the paragraph regarding forward-looking statements on the Table of Contents page relating to the Company's Supplemental Operating and Financial Data charts for the first quarter of 2003.

### AvalonBay Communities, Inc. Development Communities as of March 31, 2003

		Total		Sche	dule		Avg			
	# of Apt Homes	Capital Cost (1) (millions)	Start	Initial Occupancy	Complete	Stabilized Ops (1)	Rent Per Home (1)(2)	% Comp (3)	% Leased (4)	% Occ (5) (6)
							Adjusted for Concessions See Attachment #13			
Under Construction:										
1. Avalon at Mission Bay North San Francisco, CA	250	\$ 79.5	Q1 2001	Q4 2002	Q2 2003	Q4 2003	\$ 2,604	69.2%	49.2%	40.4%
2. Avalon at Rock Spring (7) North Bethesda, MD	386	\$ 45.9	Q4 2001	Q4 2002	Q3 2003	Q1 2004	\$ 1,591	77.5%	46.4%	39.1%
3. Avalon at Gallery Place I (8) Washington, DC	203	\$ 50.0	Q4 2001	Q2 2003	Q4 2003	Q2 2004	\$ 2,382	55.2%	9.4%	4.9%
4. Avalon Glendale Glendale, CA	223	\$ 40.4	Q1 2002	Q2 2003	Q1 2004	Q3 2004	\$ 2,376	N/A	3.6%	N/A
5. Avalon at Grosvenor Station (9) (10) North Bethesda, MD	499	\$ 82.3	Q1 2002	Q3 2003	Q4 2004	Q2 2005	\$ 1,624	N/A	N/A	N/A
6. Avalon at Newton Highlands (9) Newton, MA	294	\$ 58.7	Q2 2002	Q3 2003	Q1 2004	Q3 2004	\$ 2,306	N/A	N/A	N/A
7. Avalon at Glen Cove South Glen Cove, NY	256	\$ 62.0	Q3 2002	Q1 2004	Q2 2004	Q4 2004	\$ 2,706	N/A	N/A	N/A
8. Avalon at Steven's Pond Saugus, MA	326	\$ 55.4	Q3 2002	Q1 2003	Q2 2004	Q4 2004	\$ 1,797	6.1%	6.7%	2.5%
9. Avalon Darien Darien, CT	189	\$ 43.6	Q4 2002	Q4 2003	Q3 2004	Q1 2005	\$ 2,346	N/A	N/A	N/A
10. Avalon Traville Phase I North Potomac, MD	200	\$ 28.8	Q4 2002	Q4 2003	Q2 2004	Q4 2004	\$ 1,547	N/A	N/A	N/A
Subtotal/Weighted Average	2,826	\$546.6					\$ 2,052			
Completed this Quarter:										
1. Avalon on Stamford Harbor Stamford, CT	323	\$ 60.7	Q3 2000	Q1 2002	Q1 2003	Q3 2003	\$ 2,002	100.0%	88.2%	82.4%
2. Avalon at Flanders Hill Westborough, MA	280	\$ 38.4	Q3 2001	Q2 2002	Q1 2003	Q3 2003	\$ 1,555	100.0%	80.7%	76.8%
Subtotal/Weighted Average	603	\$ 99.1					\$ 1,795			
Total/Weighted Average	3,429	\$645.7					\$ 2,007			
Weighted Average Projected EBITDA as a % of Total Capital Cost (1)(2)	_	8.5%	Adjuste	d for Concessio	ons — See At	tachment #13				

(1) See Attachment #13 — Definitions and Reconciliations of Non-GAAP Financial Measures and Other Terms.

(2) Amounts have been adjusted for concessions. See definitions of Average Rent per Home and Projected EBITDA on Attachment #13.

(3) Includes apartment homes for which construction has been completed and accepted by management as of April 25, 2003.

(4) Includes apartment homes for which leases have been executed or non-refundable deposits have been paid as of April 25, 2003.

(5) Physical occupancy based on apartment homes occupied as of April 25, 2003.

(6) Q1 2003 EBITDA for communities under construction and communities completed during this quarter was \$ 1.0 million (excludes EBITDA for communities completed in previous quarters but not yet stabilized). See Attachment #13.

- (7) The community is owned by a limited liability company or a limited partnership in which the Company is a majority partner. The costs reflected above exclude construction and management fees due to AvalonBay. This community is consolidated for financial reporting purposes.
- (8) The Total Capital Cost for this community excludes approximately \$4 million of proceeds that the Company expects to receive upon the sale of transferable development rights associated with the development of the community. These rights do not become transferable until construction completion and there can be no assurance that the projected amount of proceeds will be achieved.
- (9) The community is owned by a DownREIT partnership in which a wholly-owned subsidiary of AvalonBay is the general partner with a majority interest. This community is consolidated for financial reporting purposes.
- (10) For purposes of calculating Projected EBITDA as a % of Total Capital Cost for this community and its related impact on the Weighted Average calculation, the Company has included in Total Capital Cost \$1.9 million, the present value of a projected residual land payment that is a priority distribution upon a sale or refinancing transaction in the future.

This chart contains forward-looking statements. Please see the paragraph regarding forward-looking statements on the Table of Contents page relating to the Company's Supplemental Operating and Financial Data charts for the first quarter of 2003.

### AvalonBay Communities, Inc. Redevelopment Communities (1) as of March 31, 2003

	Cos		Cost (millions) Schedule					Number of Homes		
	# of Apt Homes	Acquisition Cost	Total Capital Cost (2)	Acquisition	Start	Complete	Restabilized Ops (2)	Avg Rent Per Home (2)(3)	Completed to date	Out of Service @ 3/31/03
								Adjusted for Concessions See Attachment #13		
Under Redevelopment:										
1. 4100 Massachusetts Avenue (4) Washington, DC	308	\$ 35.7	\$ 43.3	Q3 1994	Q4 2002	Q2 2004	Q4 2004	\$ 2,105	81	48
2. Avalon at Prudential Center (5) Boston, MA	781	\$133.9	\$154.5	Q3 1998	Q4 2000	Q2 2003	Q3 2003	\$ 2,653	411	26
Total/Weighted Average	1,089	\$169.6	\$197.8					\$ 2,498	492	74
Weighted Average Projected EBITDA as a % of Total Capital Cost (2)(3)			9.8%	Adjusted f	or Concess	ions — See At	ttachment #13			

(1) Redevelopment Communities are communities acquired for which redevelopment costs are expected to exceed 10% of the original acquisition cost or \$5,000,000.

(2) Inclusive of acquisition cost. See Attachment #13 — Definitions and Reconciliations of Non-GAAP Financial Measures and Other Terms.

(3) Amounts have been adjusted for concessions. See definitions of Average Rent per Home and Projected EBITDA on Attachment #13.

(4) The Acquisition Cost of \$35.7 million is comprised of the initial acquisition cost of \$33.8 million plus capital expenditures of \$1.9 million that were made following the acquisition and were unrelated to redevelopment costs.

This chart contains forward-looking statements. Please see the paragraph regarding forward-looking statements on the Table of Contents page relating to the Company's Supplemental Operating and Financial Data charts for the first quarter of 2003.

<sup>(5)</sup> The Acquisition Cost of \$133.9 million is comprised of the initial acquisition cost of \$130 million plus capital expenditures of \$3.9 million that were made following the acquisition and were unrelated to redevelopment costs.

# AvalonBay Communities, Inc. Historical Development and Redevelopment Communities as of March 31, 2003

Year of Development/Redevelopment Completion		Number of Communities	Number of Apartment Homes	Total Capital Cost <sup>(1)</sup> (millions)
Development Communities				
1994		3	958	\$ 67.6
1995		3	777	84.4
1996		6	866	70.6
1997		8	2,672	331.9
1998		6	2,175	263.2
1999		10	2,335	391.6
2000		6	1,209	175.2
2001		6	1,656	273.8
2002		10	2,521	466.6
2003		2	603	99.1
Total		60	15,772	\$2,224.0
Redevelopment Communities				
1995	(2)	2	406	\$ 23.6
1996	(_)	6	1,689	114.9
1997		9	2,037	196.1
1998		8	1,969	195.7
1999		13	4,051	385.5
2000		4	1,455	156.6
2001		1	294	34.6
2002		2	1,116	137.0
2003		_		
Total		45	13,017	\$1,244.0
			- ,	. ,

(1) See Attachment #13 — Definitions and Reconciliations of Non-GAAP Financial Measures and Other Terms.

(2) 1995 Redevelopment was adjusted to exclude Crossbrook which is included in 1999 Redevelopment completions.

### AvalonBay Communities, Inc. Summary of Development and Redevelopment Community Activity (1) as of March 31, 2003

DEVELOPMENT (2)						
	Apt Homes Completed & Occupied	Development Community Investments (3)	Value of Homes Completed & Occupied (4)	Remaining to Invest (5)	Construction in Progress at Period End (6)	
Total - 2001 Actual	1,582	\$404,586,134	\$258,593,463	\$431,505,675	\$415,617,828	
2002 4 / 1						
2002 Actual : Quarter 1	565	\$119,213,893	\$102,870,891	\$369,248,732	\$407,887,099	
Quarter 2	798	119,760,121	154,985,308	367,499,307	350,311,849	
Quarter 3	692	94,377,426	133,106,593	404,565,295	313,104,399	
Quarter 4	424	84,212,982	78,307,747	254,198,266	295,107,369	
Total - 2002 Actual	2,479	\$417,564,422	\$469,270,539			
2003:						
Quarter 1 (Actual)	343	\$ 47,610,401	\$ 66,767,096	\$205,448,920	\$304,444,246	
Quarter 2 (Projected)	497	76,460,964	94,089,109	128,987,957	211,372,267	
Quarter 3 (Projected)	691	56,549,087	130,556,287	72,438,870	195,005,774	
Quarter 4 (Projected)	603	42,712,869	113,475,792	29,726,001	98,102,023	
Total - 2003	2,134	\$223,333,321	\$404,888,284			
1000 2000	2,151	<i><i><i>q223,353,321</i></i></i>	¢.0.,300,201			

#### REDEVELOPMENT

	Avg Homes Out of Service	Redevelopment Community Investments (3)	Remaining to Invest (5)	Reconstruction in Progress at Period End (6)
Total - 2001 Actual		\$26,832,005	\$10,190,945	\$14,000,460
2002 Actual :				
Ouarter 1	34	\$ 3,426,482	\$ 7,568,111	\$ 6,500,000
Quarter 2	31	2,102,054	5,083,139	14,002,156
Quarter 3	26	2,004,800	10,406,023	13,778,043
Quarter 4	44	3,078,838	7,655,832	17,317,952
Total - 2002 Actual		\$10,612,174		
2003:				
Quarter 1 (Actual)	68	\$ 1,798,678	\$ 5,857,154	\$10,541,752
Quarter 2 (Projected)	56	1,880,260	3,976,894	4,290,000
Quarter 3 (Projected)	24	1,828,775	2,148,119	2,676,667
Quarter 4 (Projected)	14	1,528,775	619,344	1,540,000
Total - 2003		\$ 7,036,488		

- (1) Data is presented for all Historical and Current Development Communities currently under construction; all Historical and Current Redevelopment Communities under reconstruction; and those communities for which construction or reconstruction is expected to begin within the next 90 days. Does not include data for Presale Communities.
- (2) Projected Periods include data for consolidated joint ventures at 100%. The offset for joint venture partners' participation is reflected in the minority interest line items of the Financial Statements.
- (3) Represents Total Capital Cost incurred or expected to be incurred during the quarter for (i) Current and Future Development/Redevelopment Communities under construction or reconstruction during the quarter and (ii) those for which construction or reconstruction is expected to begin within the next 90 days.
- (4) Represents Total Capital Cost of apartment homes completed and occupied during the quarter. Calculated by dividing Total Capital Cost for each Development Community by number of homes for the community, multiplied by the number of homes completed and occupied during the quarter.
- (5) Represents Total Capital Cost remaining to invest on (i) Current and Future Development/Redevelopment Communities under construction or reconstruction during the quarter and (ii) those for which construction or reconstruction is expected to begin within the next 90 days.
- (6) Represents period end balance of construction or reconstruction costs.

This chart contains forward-looking statements. Please see the paragraph regarding forward-looking statements on the Table of Contents page relating to the Company's Supplemental Operating and Financial Data charts for the first quarter of 2003.

# AvalonBay Communities, Inc. Future Development as of March 31, 2003

### DEVELOPMENT RIGHTS

I. Danvers & Peabody, MA         387         s         63           2. Coram, NY Phase I         (1)         298         49           3. Kirkland, WA         (1)         211         50           4. Milford, CT         (1)         246         37           5. Plymouth, MA Phase I         (1)         98         21           6. Lawrence, NI         312         43           7. New York, NY         (2)         361         138           8. North Potomac, MD Phase II         (1)         320         46           9. Hingham, MA         236         44         10           10. Los Angeles, CA         (1)         180         40           12. New Rochelle, NY Phase II and III         588         144           13. Danbury, CT         (1)         180         40           12. New Rochelle, NY Phase II and III         588         144           13. Danbury, CT         (1)         148         24           16. Quincy, MA         115         21           19. Milford, CT         284         41           20. Seattle, WA         (1)         194         50           21. Bellevue, WA         313         100         25	Location of Development Right		Estimated Number of Homes	Total Capital Cost (millions)
3. Kirkland, WA       (1)       211       50         4. Milford, CT       (1)       246       37         5. Plymouth, MA Phase I       (1)       98       21         6. Lawrence, NJ       312       43         7. New York, NY       (2)       361       138         8. North Potomac, MD Phase II       (1)       320       46         9. Hingham, MA       236       44       10. Los Angeles, CA       (1)       309       63         11. Oakland, CA       (1)       180       40       40       12. New Rochelle, NY Phase II and III       588       144         13. Danbury, CT       (1)       234       36       36       44         14. Norwalk, CT       139       21       16. Quincy, MA       139       21         16. Quincy, MA       (1)       148       24       24       17. Orange, CT       115       21         19. Milford, CT       284       41       20. Seattle, WA       368       71       22. Glen Cove, NY       111       31         21. Bellevue, WA       368       71       22. I3       23       24. Plymouth, MA Phase II       313       100         23. Landover, MA       111       313       <	1. Danvers & Peabody, MA		387	\$ 63
4. Milford, CT       (1)       246       37         5. Plymouth, MA Phase I       (1)       98       21         6. Lawrence, NJ       312       43         7. New York, NY       (2)       361       138         8. North Potomac, MD Phase II       (1)       320       46         9. Hingham, MA       236       44         10. Los Angeles, CA       (1)       309       63         11. Oakland, CA       (1)       180       40         12. New Rochelle, NY Phase II and III       588       144         13. Danbury, CT       (1)       234       36         14. Norwalk, CT       314       63       15. Bedford, MA       139       21         15. Bedford, MA       (1)       148       24       17. Orange, CT       (1)       168       22         18. Andover, MA       115       21       115       21       19. Milford, CT       284       41       20         20. Seatte, WA       (1)       194       50       21       111       31       23         21. Bellevue, WA       368       71       21       33       100       24       24       41       20       313       100		(1)	298	
5. Plymouth, MA Phase I       (1)       98       21         6. Lawrence, NJ       312       43         7. New York, NY       (2)       361       138         8. North Potomac, MD Phase II       (1)       320       46         9. Hingham, MA       236       44         10. Los Angeles, CA       (1)       309       63         11. Oakland, CA       (1)       180       40         12. New Rochelle, NY Phase II and III       588       144         13. Danbury, CT       (1)       234       36         14. Norwalk, CT       314       63       139       21         16. Quincy, MA       (1)       148       24       17       07 ange, CT       (1)       148       24         17. Orange, CT       (1)       148       24       115       21       19       Milford, CT       284       41         20. Scattle, WA       (1)       194       50       21       86       71       12       22       11       31       100         21. Bellevue, WA       (1)       194       50       313       100       26       27       13       11       31       100       26       28	3. Kirkland, WA	(1)	211	50
6. Lawrence, NJ       312       43         7. New York, NY       (2)       361       138         8. North Potomac, MD Phase II       (1)       320       46         9. Hingham, MA       236       44         10. Los Angeles, CA       (1)       309       63         11. Oakland, CA       (1)       309       63         12. New Rochelle, NY Phase II and III       588       144         13. Danbury, CT       (1)       234       36         14. Norwalk, CT       314       63       15         15. Bedford, MA       139       21       16         16. Quincy, MA       (1)       148       24         17. Orange, CT       (1)       168       22         18. Andover, MA       115       21       19         19. Milford, CT       284       41       20. Seattle, WA       (1)       194       50         21. Bellevue, WA       368       71       111       31       131       100         23. Long Island City, NY Phase II and III       552       162       142       149       43         23. Long Island City, NY Phase II and III       552       162       144       131       100	4. Milford, CT	(1)	246	37
7. New York, NY       (2)       361       138         8. North Potomac, MD Phase II       (1)       320       46         9. Hingham, MA       236       44         10. Los Angeles, CA       (1)       309       63         11. Oakland, CA       (1)       180       40         12. New Rochelle, NY Phase II and III       588       144         13. Danbury, CT       (1)       234       36         14. Norwalk, CT       314       63       15         15. Bedford, MA       139       21       16         16. Quincy, MA       (1)       148       24         7. Orange, CT       (1)       168       22         18. Andover, MA       115       21         19. Milford, CT       284       41         20. Seattle, WA       (1)       194       50         21. Bellevue, WA       368       71         22. Glen Cove, NY       111       31       31         23. San Francisco, CA       313       100         25. San Francisco, CA       313       100         26. Stratford, CT       146       18         27. Los Angeles, CA       173       47         28. Cama	5. Plymouth, MA Phase I	(1)	98	21
8. North Potomac, MD Phase II       (1)       320       46         9. Hingham, MA       236       44         10. Los Angeles, CA       (1)       309       63         11. Oakland, CA       (1)       180       40         12. New Rochelle, NY Phase II and III       588       144         13. Danbury, CT       (1)       234       36         14. Norwalk, CT       314       63       15         15. Bedford, MA       139       21       16.         16. Quincy, MA       (1)       148       24         17. Orange, CT       (1)       168       22         18. Andover, MA       115       21         19. Milford, CT       284       41         20. Seattle, WA       368       71         21. Bellevue, WA       368       71         22. Glen Cove, NY       111       31         23. Long Island City, NY Phase II and III       552       162         24. Plymouth, MA Phase II       72       13         25. San Francisco, CA       173       47         26. Camarillo, CA       (1)       144       30         30. Cohasset, MA       200       38         31. Newton, MA	6. Lawrence, NJ	× /	312	43
9. Hingham, MA       236       44         10. Los Angeles, CA       (1)       309       63         11. Oakland, CA       (1)       180       40         12. New Rochelle, NY Phase II and III       588       144         13. Danbury, CT       (1)       234       36         14. Norwalk, CT       314       63       15         15. Bedford, MA       139       21         16. Quincy, MA       (1)       148       24         17. Orange, CT       (1)       168       22         18. Andover, MA       115       21         19. Milford, CT       284       41         20. Seattle, WA       368       71         21. Bellevue, WA       368       71         22. Glen Cove, NY       111       31         23. Long Island City, NY Phase II and III       552       162         24. Plymouth, MA Phase II       72       13         25. San Francisco, CA       313       100         26. Stratford, CT       146       18         27. Los Angeles, CA       173       47         28. Camarillo, CA       (1)       144       30         30. Cohasset, MA       200       38	7. New York, NY	(2)	361	138
10. Los Angeles, CA       (1)       309       63         11. Oakland, CA       (1)       180       40         12. New Rochelle, NY Phase II and III       588       144         13. Danbury, CT       (1)       234       36         14. Norwalk, CT       314       63       15         14. Norwalk, CT       314       63       15         15. Bedford, MA       139       21       16         16. Quincy, MA       (1)       148       24         17. Orange, CT       (1)       168       22         18. Andover, MA       115       21         19. Milford, CT       284       41         20. Seattle, WA       (1)       194       50         21. Bellevue, WA       368       71       22         22. Glen Cove, NY       111       31       31         23. Long Island City, NY Phase II and III       552       162         24. Plymouth, MA Phase II       72       13       32         25. San Francisco, CA       313       100       65         26. Stratford, CT       146       18       32       32       33       100         20. Cohasset, MA       200       38	8. North Potomac, MD Phase II	(1)	320	46
10. Los Angeles, CA       (1)       309       63         11. Oakland, CA       (1)       180       40         12. New Rochelle, NY Phase II and III       588       144         13. Danbury, CT       (1)       234       36         14. Norwalk, CT       314       63         15. Bedford, MA       139       21         16. Quincy, MA       (1)       148       24         17. Orange, CT       (1)       168       22         18. Andover, MA       115       21         19. Milford, CT       284       41         20. Seattle, WA       (1)       194       50         21. Bellevue, WA       368       71       22         22. Glen Cove, NY       111       31       31         23. Long Island City, NY Phase II and III       552       162         24. Plymouth, MA Phase II       72       13       100         65. Stratford, CT       146       18       11       31         20. Cohasset, MA       200       38       31.       100         66. Stratford, CT       144       18       30       200       38         20. Cohasset, MA       200       38       31.	9. Hingham, MA		236	44
11. Oakland, CA       (1)       180       40         12. New Rochelle, NY Phase II and III       588       144         13. Danbury, CT       (1)       234       36         14. Norwalk, CT       314       63       15         15. Bedford, MA       139       21         16. Quincy, MA       (1)       148       24         17. Orange, CT       (1)       168       22         18. Andover, MA       115       21         19. Milford, CT       284       41         20. Scattle, WA       (1)       194       50         21. Bellevue, WA       368       71         22. Glen Cove, NY       111       31       31         23. Long Island City, NY Phase II and III       552       162         24. Plymouth, MA Phase II       72       13         25. San Francisco, CA       313       100         26. Stratford, CT       146       18         27. Los Angeles, CA       173       47         28. Camarillo, CA       (1)       240       60         30. Cohasset, MA       200       38       31.       Newton, MA       240       60         32. Greenburgh, NY Phase II       (1)		(1)	309	63
12. New Rochelle, NY Phase II and III       588       144         13. Danbury, CT       (1)       234       36         14. Norwalk, CT       314       63         15. Bedford, MA       139       21         16. Quincy, MA       (1)       148       24         17. Orange, CT       (1)       168       22         18. Andover, MA       115       21         19. Milford, CT       284       41         20. Seattle, WA       (1)       194       50         21. Bellevue, WA       368       71       22         20. Gent Cove, NY       111       31       31         21. Bellevue, WA       368       71       22         22. Glen Cove, NY       111       31       31         23. San Francisco, CA       313       100         24. Plymouth, MA Phase II       72       13         25. San Francisco, CA       113       100         26. Stratford, CT       146       18         27. Los Angeles, CA       173       47         28. Camarillo, CA       200       38         31. Newton, MA       240       60         32. Greenburgh, NY Phase II       190       31	5 <i>/</i>		180	40
13. Danbury, CT       (1)       234       36         14. Norwalk, CT       314       63         15. Bedford, MA       139       21         16. Quincy, MA       (1)       148       24         17. Orange, CT       (1)       168       22         18. Andover, MA       115       21         19. Milford, CT       284       41         20. Seattle, WA       (1)       194       50         21. Bellevue, WA       368       71         22. Glen Cove, NY       111       31         23. Long Island City, NY Phase II and III       552       162         24. Plymouth, MA Phase II       72       13         25. San Francisco, CA       313       100         26. Stratford, CT       146       18         27. Los Angeles, CA       173       47         28. Camarillo, CA       (1)       249       43         29. Washington, DC       (1)       144       30         30. Cohasset, MA       200       38       31.         31. Newton, MA       240       60         32. Greenburgh, NY Phase II       (1)       152       26         33. Encino, CA       190       31	12. New Rochelle, NY Phase II and III		588	144
14. Norwalk, CT       314       63         15. Bedford, MA       139       21         16. Quincy, MA       (1)       148       24         17. Orange, CT       (1)       168       22         18. Andover, MA       115       21         19. Milford, CT       284       41         20. Seattle, WA       (1)       194       50         21. Bellevue, WA       368       71         22. Glen Cove, NY       111       31         23. Long Island City, NY Phase II and III       552       162         24. Plymouth, MA Phase II       72       13         25. San Francisco, CA       313       100         26. Stratford, CT       146       18         27. Los Angeles, CA       173       47         28. Camarillo, CA       (1)       249       43         29. Washington, DC       (1)       144       30         30. Cohasset, MA       200       38       31.         31. Newton, MA       240       60         32. Greenburgh, NY Phase II       (1)       144       46         31. Scoram, NY Phase II       (1)       152       26         32. Coram, NY Phase II       (1)		(1)	234	36
15. Bedford, MA       139       21         16. Quincy, MA       (1)       148       24         17. Orange, CT       (1)       168       22         18. Andover, MA       115       21         19. Milford, CT       284       41         20. Seattle, WA       (1)       194       50         21. Bellevue, WA       368       71         22. Glen Cove, NY       111       31         23. Long Island City, NY Phase II and III       552       162         24. Plymouth, MA Phase II       72       13         25. San Francisco, CA       313       100         26 Stratford, CT       146       18         27. Los Angeles, CA       173       47         28. Camarillo, CA       (1)       144       30         30. Cohasset, MA       200       38       31.         31. Newton, MA       240       60       33         32. Greenburgh, NY Phase II       766       139       33         33. Encino, CA       146       46       46         34. Sharon, MA       190       31       35       26         35. Coram, NY Phase II       (1)       152       26         36			314	63
16. Quincy, MA       (1)       148       24         17. Orange, CT       (1)       168       22         18. Andover, MA       115       21         19. Milford, CT       284       41         20. Seattle, WA       (1)       194       50         21. Bellevue, WA       368       71         22. Glen Cove, NY       111       31         23. Long Island City, NY Phase II and III       552       162         24. Plymouth, MA Phase II       72       13         25. San Francisco, CA       313       100         26. Stratford, CT       146       18         27. Los Angeles, CA       173       47         28. Camarillo, CA       (1)       249       43         29. Washington, DC       (1)       144       30         30. Cohasset, MA       200       38       31         31. Newton, MA       240       60         32. Greenburgh, NY Phase II       766       139         33. Encino, CA       190       31         35. Coram, NY Phase II       (1)       152       26         36. Long Beach, CA       299       57       37. Wilton, CT       100       24 <td< td=""><td>,</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	,			
17. Orange, CT       (1)       168       22         18. Andover, MA       115       21         19. Milford, CT       284       41         20. Seattle, WA       (1)       194       50         21. Bellevue, WA       368       71         22. Glen Cove, NY       111       31         23. Long Island City, NY Phase II and III       552       162         24. Plymouth, MA Phase II       72       13         25. San Francisco, CA       313       100         26. Stratford, CT       146       18         27. Los Angeles, CA       11       249         29. Washington, DC       (1)       249       43         20. Cohasset, MA       200       38       31. Newton, MA       200       38         31. Newton, MA       240       60       32. Greenburgh, NY Phase II       766       139         33. Encino, CA       146       46       46       44       450       31         35. Coram, NY Phase II       (1)       152       26       36       100       24         34. Sharon, MA       190       31       31       31       31       31       31         35. Coram, NY Phase II       <		(1)		
18. Andover, MA       115       21         19. Milford, CT       284       41         20. Seattle, WA       (1)       194       50         21. Bellevue, WA       368       71         22. Glen Cove, NY       111       31         23. Long Island City, NY Phase II and III       552       162         24. Plymouth, MA Phase II       72       13         25. San Francisco, CA       313       100         26. Stratford, CT       146       18         27. Los Angeles, CA       173       47         28. Camarillo, CA       (1)       249       43         29. Washington, DC       (1)       144       30         30. Cohasset, MA       200       38       31.         31. Newton, MA       240       60       32         32. Greenburgh, NY Phase II       766       139       33.         33. Encino, CA       146       46       46         34. Sharon, MA       190       31       31       31         35. Coram, NY Phase II       (1)       152       26         36. Long Beach, CA       299       57       57         37. Witon, CT       100       24       38		· · ·		22
19. Milford, CT       284       41         20. Seattle, WA       (1)       194       50         21. Bellevue, WA       368       71         22. Glen Cove, NY       111       31         23. Long Island City, NY Phase II and III       552       162         24. Plymouth, MA Phase II       72       13         25. San Francisco, CA       313       100         26. Stratford, CT       146       18         27. Los Angeles, CA       173       47         28. Camarillo, CA       (1)       249       43         29. Washington, DC       (1)       144       30         30. Cohasset, MA       200       38       31.         31. Newton, MA       240       60         32. Greenburgh, NY Phase II       766       139         33. Encino, CA       146       46         34. Sharon, MA       190       31         35. Coram, NY Phase II       (1)       152       26         36. Long Beach, CA       299       57         37. Witton, CT       100       24         38. Yaphank, NY       450       71         39. College Park, MD       320       44	•			21
20. Seattle, WA       (1)       194       50         21. Bellevue, WA       368       71         22. Glen Cove, NY       111       31         23. Long Island City, NY Phase II and III       552       162         24. Plymouth, MA Phase II       72       13         25. San Francisco, CA       313       100         26. Stratford, CT       146       18         27. Los Angeles, CA       173       47         28. Camarillo, CA       (1)       249       43         29. Washington, DC       (1)       144       30         30. Cohasset, MA       200       38         31. Newton, MA       240       60         32. Greenburgh, NY Phase II       766       139         33. Encino, CA       146       46         34. Sharon, MA       190       31         35. Coram, NY Phase II       (1)       152       26         36. Long Beach, CA       299       57         37. Wilton, CT       100       24         38. Yaphank, NY       450       71         39. College Park, MD       320       44	,			41
21. Bellevue, WA       368       71         22. Glen Cove, NY       111       31         23. Long Island City, NY Phase II and III       552       162         24. Plymouth, MA Phase II       72       13         25. San Francisco, CA       313       100         26. Stratford, CT       146       18         27. Los Angeles, CA       173       47         28. Camarillo, CA       (1)       249       43         29. Washington, DC       (1)       144       30         30. Cohasset, MA       200       38         31. Newton, MA       240       60         32. Greenburgh, NY Phase II       766       139         33. Encino, CA       146       46         34. Sharon, MA       190       31         35. Coram, NY Phase II       (1)       152       26         36. Long Beach, CA       299       57       57         37. Witton, CT       100       24       38         38. Yaphank, NY       450       71       39         39. College Park, MD       320       44       450		(1)		
22. Glen Cove, NY       111       31         23. Long Island City, NY Phase II and III       552       162         24. Plymouth, MA Phase II       72       13         25. San Francisco, CA       313       100         26. Stratford, CT       146       18         27. Los Angeles, CA       173       47         28. Camarillo, CA       (1)       249       43         29. Washington, DC       (1)       144       30         30. Cohasset, MA       200       38         31. Newton, MA       240       60         32. Greenburgh, NY Phase II       766       139         33. Encino, CA       146       46         34. Sharon, MA       190       31         35. Coram, NY Phase II       (1)       152       26         36. Long Beach, CA       299       57         37. Witton, CT       100       24         38. Yaphank, NY       450       71         39. College Park, MD       320       44		(-)		
23. Long Island City, NY Phase II and III       552       162         24. Plymouth, MA Phase II       72       13         25. San Francisco, CA       313       100         26. Stratford, CT       146       18         27. Los Angeles, CA       173       47         28. Camarillo, CA       (1)       249       43         29. Washington, DC       (1)       144       30         30. Cohasset, MA       200       38         31. Newton, MA       240       60         32. Greenburgh, NY Phase II       766       139         33. Encino, CA       146       46         34. Sharon, MA       190       31         35. Coram, NY Phase II       (1)       152       26         36. Long Beach, CA       299       57         37. Witton, CT       100       24         38. Yaphank, NY       450       71         39. College Park, MD       320       44	,			
24. Plymouth, MA Phase II       72       13         25. San Francisco, CA       313       100         26. Stratford, CT       146       18         27. Los Angeles, CA       173       47         28. Camarillo, CA       (1)       249       43         29. Washington, DC       (1)       144       30         30. Cohasset, MA       200       38         31. Newton, MA       240       60         32. Greenburgh, NY Phase II       766       139         33. Encino, CA       146       46         34. Sharon, MA       190       31         35. Coram, NY Phase II       (1)       152       26         36. Long Beach, CA       299       57       57         37. Wilton, CT       100       24       38       Yaphank, NY       450       71         39. College Park, MD       320       44       450       71       320       44				
25. San Francisco, CA       313       100         26. Stratford, CT       146       18         27. Los Angeles, CA       173       47         28. Camarillo, CA       (1)       249       43         29. Washington, DC       (1)       144       30         30. Cohasset, MA       200       38         31. Newton, MA       240       60         32. Greenburgh, NY Phase II       766       139         33. Encino, CA       146       46         34. Sharon, MA       190       31         35. Coram, NY Phase II       (1)       152       26         36. Long Beach, CA       299       57       57         37. Wilton, CT       100       24       38         38. Yaphank, NY       450       71       39. College Park, MD       320       44				
26. Stratford, CT       146       18         27. Los Angeles, CA       173       47         28. Camarillo, CA       (1)       249       43         29. Washington, DC       (1)       144       30         30. Cohasset, MA       200       38         31. Newton, MA       240       60         32. Greenburgh, NY Phase II       766       139         33. Encino, CA       146       46         34. Sharon, MA       190       31         35. Coram, NY Phase II       (1)       152       26         36. Long Beach, CA       299       57         37. Wilton, CT       100       24         38. Yaphank, NY       450       71         39. College Park, MD       320       44	5 ,			
27. Los Angeles, CA       173       47         28. Camarillo, CA       (1)       249       43         29. Washington, DC       (1)       144       30         30. Cohasset, MA       200       38         31. Newton, MA       240       60         32. Greenburgh, NY Phase II       766       139         33. Encino, CA       146       46         34. Sharon, MA       190       31         35. Coram, NY Phase II       (1)       152       26         36. Long Beach, CA       299       57         37. Wilton, CT       100       24         38. Yaphank, NY       450       71         39. College Park, MD       320       44				
28. Camarillo, CA       (1)       249       43         29. Washington, DC       (1)       144       30         30. Cohasset, MA       200       38         31. Newton, MA       240       60         32. Greenburgh, NY Phase II       766       139         33. Encino, CA       146       46         34. Sharon, MA       190       31         35. Coram, NY Phase II       (1)       152       26         36. Long Beach, CA       299       57         37. Wilton, CT       100       24         38. Yaphank, NY       450       71         39. College Park, MD       320       44				
29. Washington, DC       (1)       144       30         30. Cohasset, MA       200       38         31. Newton, MA       240       60         32. Greenburgh, NY Phase II       766       139         33. Encino, CA       146       46         34. Sharon, MA       190       31         35. Coram, NY Phase II       (1)       152       26         36. Long Beach, CA       299       57         37. Wilton, CT       100       24         38. Yaphank, NY       450       71         39. College Park, MD       320       44	6	(1)		
30. Cohasset, MA       200       38         31. Newton, MA       240       60         32. Greenburgh, NY Phase II       766       139         33. Encino, CA       146       46         34. Sharon, MA       190       31         35. Coram, NY Phase II       (1)       152       26         36. Long Beach, CA       299       57         37. Wilton, CT       100       24         38. Yaphank, NY       450       71         39. College Park, MD       320       44				
31. Newton, MA       240       60         32. Greenburgh, NY Phase II       766       139         33. Encino, CA       146       46         34. Sharon, MA       190       31         35. Coram, NY Phase II       (1)       152       26         36. Long Beach, CA       299       57         37. Wilton, CT       100       24         38. Yaphank, NY       450       71         39. College Park, MD       320       44		(1)		
32. Greenburgh, NY Phase II       766       139         33. Encino, CA       146       46         34. Sharon, MA       190       31         35. Coram, NY Phase II       (1)       152       26         36. Long Beach, CA       299       57         37. Wilton, CT       100       24         38. Yaphank, NY       450       71         39. College Park, MD       320       44				
33. Encino, CA       146       46         34. Sharon, MA       190       31         35. Coram, NY Phase II       (1)       152       26         36. Long Beach, CA       299       57         37. Wilton, CT       100       24         38. Yaphank, NY       450       71         39. College Park, MD       320       44				
34. Sharon, MA       190       31         35. Coram, NY Phase II       (1)       152       26         36. Long Beach, CA       299       57         37. Wilton, CT       100       24         38. Yaphank, NY       450       71         39. College Park, MD       320       44	67			
35. Coram, NY Phase II       (1)       152       26         36. Long Beach, CA       299       57         37. Wilton, CT       100       24         38. Yaphank, NY       450       71         39. College Park, MD       320       44				
36. Long Beach, CA     299     57       37. Wilton, CT     100     24       38. Yaphank, NY     450     71       39. College Park, MD     320     44	,	(1)		
37. Wilton, CT     100     24       38. Yaphank, NY     450     71       39. College Park, MD     320     44	·	(1)		
38. Yaphank, NY       450       71         39. College Park, MD       320       44				
39. College Park, MD 320 44	·			
	1 /			
Totals 10,133 \$2,067	57. Conege raik, will		520	
10,155 \$2,007	Totals		10 133	\$2.067
	10(a)5		10,133	\$2,007

(1) Company owns land, but construction has not yet begun.

(2) Total Capital Cost for this community includes costs associated with the construction of 89,000 square feet of retail space and 30,000 square feet for a community facility.

This chart contains forward-looking statements. Please see the paragraph regarding forward-looking statements on the Table of Contents page relating to the Company's Supplemental Operating and Financial Data charts for the first quarter of 2003.

(1)

# AvalonBay Communities, Inc. Summary of Disposition Activity as of March 31, 2003

Community Name	Location	Gross Sales Price
2002 Total		\$ 80,100,000
Weighted Average Initial Year Market Cap Rate (1)		5.4%
<u>Q1 2003:</u>		
1. Avalon Westside Terrace	Los Angeles, CA	\$ 46,700,000
2003 Total		\$ 46,700,000
Weighted Average Initial Year Market Cap Rate (1)		5.9%
2002 through Qtr 1 2003 Total		\$126,800,000
Weighted Average Initial Year Market Cap Rate (1)		5.6%
ttachment #13 — Definitions and Reconciliations of Non-GAAP Financial Measures a	and Other Terms.	

# AvalonBay Communities, Inc. Definitions and Reconciliations of Non-GAAP Financial Measures and Other Terms

This release, including its attachments, contains certain non-GAAP financial measures and other terms. The definition and calculation of these non-GAAP financial measures and other terms may differ from the definitions and methodologies used by other REITs and, accordingly, may not be comparable. The non-GAAP financial measures referred to below should not be considered an alternative to net income as an indication of our performance. In addition, these non-GAAP financial measures do not represent cash generated from operating activities in accordance with GAAP and therefore should not be considered as an alternative measure of liquidity or as indicative of cash available to fund cash needs.

FFO is determined based on a definition adopted by the Board of Governors of the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts ("NAREIT") and is calculated by the Company as net income or loss computed in accordance with GAAP, except that excluded from net income or loss are gains or losses on sales of property, impairment losses on planned dispositions and extraordinary gains or losses (as defined by GAAP); plus depreciation of real estate assets; and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. Management generally considers FFO to be a useful measure for reviewing the comparative operating and financial performance of the Company (although it should be reviewed in conjunction with net income, which remains the primary measure of performance) because, by excluding gains or losses related to dispositions of property and excluding real estate depreciation (which can vary among owners of identical assets in similar condition based on historical cost accounting and useful life estimates), FFO can help one compare the operating performance of a company's real estate between periods or as compared to different companies. A reconciliation of FFO to net income is as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Q1 2003	Q1 2002
Net income	\$ 37,388	\$ 40,721
Dividends attributable to preferred stock	(3,688)	(5,031)
Depreciation (real estate related)	36,839	31,512
Depreciation (discontinued operations)	304	1,782
Joint venture adjustments	403	321
Minority interest	383	405
Gain on sale of communities	(14,072)	_
FFO available to common stockholders	\$ 57,557	\$ 69,710
Average shares outstanding - diluted	69,116,783	70,621,853
EPS - diluted	\$ 0.49	\$ 0.51
FFO per common share — diluted	\$ 0.83	\$ 0.99

<u>Projected FFO</u>, as provided within this release in the Company's outlook for 2003, is calculated on a consistent basis as historical FFO, and is therefore considered to be an appropriate supplemental measure to projected net income of projected operating performance. A reconciliation of the range provided for Projected FFO per share (diluted) for both the second quarter and the full year 2003 to the range provided for projected EPS (diluted) is as follows:

	Low range	High range
Projected EPS (diluted)- Q2 03	\$ 1.18	\$ 1.23
Projected depreciation (real estate related)	0.54	0.58
Projected gain on sale of communities	(0.93)	(0.97)
Projected FFO per share (diluted) — Q2 03	\$ 0.79	\$ 0.84
Projected EPS (diluted) — Full Year 2003	\$ 3.17	\$ 3.37
Projected depreciation (real estate related)	2.11	2.19
Projected gain on sale of communities	(2.13)	(2.21)
Projected FFO per share (diluted) — Full Year 2003	\$ 3.15	\$ 3.35

# Attachment 13 (continued)

<u>NOI</u> is defined by the Company as total revenue less direct property operating expenses (including property taxes), and excludes property management and other indirect operating expenses, interest income and expense, general and administrative expense, joint venture income and minority interest, depreciation expense, gain or loss on sale of communities, impairment loss on planned dispositions and discontinued operations. Management generally considers NOI to be an appropriate supplemental measure to net income of operating performance because it helps investors to understand the core operations of a community or communities, as well as provide insight into how management evaluates operations on a segment basis. A reconciliation of NOI to net income is as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Q1 2003	Q1 2002	Q4 2002
Net income	\$ 37,388	\$ 40,721	\$ 66,842
Property management and other indirect operating expenses	7,997	8,486	8,054
Interest income	(902)	(1,132)	(964)
Interest expense	34,369	27,351	33,264
General and administrative expense	3,631	3,607	3,440
Joint venture income and minority interest	70	(316)	1,277
Depreciation expense	37,971	32,151	37,121
Impairment loss on planned dispositions	_	_	6,800
Gain on sale of communities	(14,072)	_	(48,893)
Discontinued operations	(2,948)	(3,106)	(2,917)
Net operating income	\$ 103,504	\$ 107,762	\$ 104,024

A detail of NOI by region and reportable segment is provided in the table below (dollars in thousands):

	Total revenue	Operating expenses <sup>(1)</sup>	NOI
For the Quarter Ended March 31, 2003:			
Established:			
Northeast	\$ 37,998	\$(12,801)	\$ 25,197
Mid-Atlantic	17,089	(5,081)	12,008
Midwest	4,099	(2,002)	2,097
Pacific NW	6,884	(2,493)	4,391
No. California	35,901	(9,655)	26,246
So. California	11,383	(3,338)	8,045
Total Established	113,354	(35,370)	77,984
Other Stabilized	24,674	(8,330)	16,344
Development/Redevelopment <sup>(2)</sup>	15,928	(6,752)	9,176
bevelopment/redevelopment		(0,752)	5,170
Total from continuing operations	\$153,956	\$(50,452)	\$103,504
For the Quarter Ended March 31, 2002:			
Established:			
Northeast	\$ 40,062	\$(11,192)	\$ 28,870
Mid-Atlantic	17,761	(4,607)	13,154
Midwest	4,453	(1,823)	2,630
Pacific NW	7,564	(2,465)	5,099
No. California	39,414	(9,227)	30,187
So. California	11,062	(3,087)	7,975
Total Established	120,316	(32,401)	87,915
Other Stabilized	22,155	(7,299)	14,856
Development/Redevelopment <sup>(2)</sup>	8,032	(3,041)	4,991
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		(*,***)	
Total from continuing operations	\$150,503	\$(42,741)	\$107,762
For the Quarter Ended December 31, 2002:			
Established:			
Northeast	\$ 38,578	\$(12.220)	\$ 26,349
Mid-Atlantic	\$ 38,578 17,222	\$(12,229)	\$ 26,349
Midwest	· · · · ·	(4,807)	,
Pacific NW	4,063	(1,667)	2,396
No. California	7,024	(2,504)	4,520
So. California	36,632	(10,508)	26,124
So. Camornia	11,439	(3,251)	8,188
Total Established	114,958	(34,966)	79,992

Other Stabilized	24,377	(8,242)	16,135
Development/Redevelopment <sup>(2)</sup>	14,252	(6,355)	7,897
Total from continuing operations	\$153,587	\$(49,563)	\$104,024

(1) Represents direct property operating expenses, including property taxes. Amounts do not include property management and other indirect operating expenses.

(2) Includes communities where development/redevelopment was completed in the fourth quarter of 2002, however stabilization was not achieved as of December 31, 2002.

EBITDA is defined as net income before interest income and expense, income taxes, depreciation and amortization, from both continuing and discontinued operations. EBITDA excludes gain on sale of communities and impairment loss on planned dispositions. Management generally considers EBITDA to be an appropriate supplemental measure to net income of the operating performance of the Company because it helps investors to understand the ability of the Company to incur and service debt and to make capital expenditures.

#### A reconciliation of EBITDA to net income is as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Q1 2003	Q1 2002
Net income	\$ 37,388	\$ 40,721
Interest income	(902)	(1,132)
Interest expense	34,369	27,351
Interest expense (disc. ops.)	195	192
Depreciation expense	37,971	32,151
Depreciation expense (disc. ops.)	304	1,782
Gain on sale of communities	(14,072)	—
EBITDA	\$ 95,253	\$101,065

Projected EBITDA, as provided within this release for certain Development and Redevelopment Communities, represents management's estimate, as of the date of this release, of projected stabilized rental revenue minus projected stabilized operating expenses before interest, income taxes (if any), depreciation, amortization and extraordinary items. Projected stabilized rental revenue represents managements's estimate of gross potential community-level earnings projected to be achieved for the first stabilized year following the completion of construction minus projected economic vacancy and adjusted for concessions. Projected EBITDA is calculated on a consistent basis as historical EBITDA, and is therefore considered to be an appropriate supplemental measure to projected net income of projected operating performance at the community level. However, due to the complexities of allocations of overhead and interest, net income is not projected on a community level basis, and therefore a reconciliation of Projected EBITDA to projected met income for these Development and Redevelopment Communities is not provided. Management uses its determination of Projected EBITDA to help measure the projected impact that a community under construction may have on Company-wide performance once such community is complete and achieves stabilization.

Average Rent per Home, as calculated for certain Development and Redevelopment Communities in lease-up, reflects (a) actual average leased rents for those apartments leased through the end of the quarter net of amortized concessions and (b) estimated market rents net of comparable concessions for all unleased apartments. For Development and Redevelopment Communities not yet in lease-up, Average Rent per Home reflects management's projected rents, including concessions equal to one-half month rent.

Market Rents as reported by the Company are based on the current market rates set by the managers of the Company's communities based on their experience in renting their communities' apartments and publicly available market data. Trends in market rents for a region as reported by others could vary. Market Rents for a period are based on the average Market Rents during that period and do not reflect any impact for cash concessions.

# Attachment 13 (continued)

Economic Occupancy is defined as total possible revenue less vacancy loss as a percentage of total possible revenue. Total possible revenue is determined by valuing occupied units at contract rates and vacant units at Market Rents. Vacancy loss is determined by valuing vacant units at current Market Rents. By measuring vacant apartments at their Market Rents, Economic Occupancy takes into account the fact that apartment homes of different sizes and locations within a community have different economic impacts on a community's gross revenue.

Leverage is calculated by the Company as total debt as a percentage of total market capitalization. Market capitalization represents the aggregate of the market value of Company's common stock, the market value of the Company's operating partnership units outstanding, the liquidation preference of the Company's preferred stock and the outstanding principal balance of the Company's debt. Management believes that Leverage can be one useful measure of a real estate operating company's long term liquidity and balance sheet strength, because it shows an approximate relationship between a company's total debt and the current total market value of its assets based on the current price at which the company's common stock trades. Changes in Leverage also can influence changes in per share results. A calculation of Leverage as of March 31, 2003 is as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Leverage
Total debt	\$2,583,371
Common stock	2,486,463
Preferred stock	183,415
Operating partnership units	36,006
Total debt	2,583,371
Total capitalization	5,289,255
Debt as % of capitalization	48.8%
-	

<u>Unencumbered NOI</u> is calculated by the Company as a measure of liquidity and represents NOI generated by real estate assets unencumbered by outstanding debt as a percentage of total NOI for the Company, including NOI from discontinued operations. A calculation of Unencumbered NOI for the first quarter of 2003 is as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Unencumbered NOI
NOI as reported	\$103,504
NOI from discontinued operations	3,643
Total NOI	107,147
NOI on encumbered assets	21,652
NOI on unencumbered assets	85,495
Unencumbered NOI	79.8%

Total Capital Cost includes all capitalized costs projected to be or actually incurred to develop the respective Development or Redevelopment Community, or Development Right, including land acquisition costs, construction costs, real estate taxes, capitalized interest and loan fees, permits, professional fees, allocated development overhead and other regulatory fees, all as determined in accordance with GAAP. With respect to communities where development or redevelopment was completed in a prior or the current period, Total Capital Cost reflects the actual cost incurred, plus any contingency estimate made by management.

Stabilized/Restabilized Operations is defined as the earlier of (i) attainment of 95% physical occupancy or (ii) the one-year anniversary of completion of development or redevelopment.

Non-Revenue Generating Capex represents capital expenditures that will not directly result in revenue earnings or expense savings.

Initial Year Market Cap Rate, as determined within this release related to Disposed Communities, is defined as Projected EBITDA of a single community for the first 12 months following its disposition, less estimates for capital expenditures (\$225 per apartment), divided by the gross sales price for the community. For this purpose, management's projection of stabilized operating expenses for the community include a management fee (3.5%). The Initial Year Market Cap Rate, which may be determined in a different manner by others, is a measure frequently used in the real estate industry when determining the appropriate purchase price for a property or estimating the value for the property. Among the reasons that the gross sales prices of different communities may result in different Initial Year Market Cap Rates is that the purchasers (i) may estimate different stabilized operating expenses for the community, including capital expenditure estimates and (ii) may project different future rental revenue results due to different estimates for changes in rent and occupancy levels.